	Page 1
1	Pages: 1-107
2	
3	
4	
5	Town of Brookline
6	Board of Appeals Public Hearing
7	Re 500 Harvard Street
8	
9	
10	
11	Remote Zoom
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Wednesday, September 30, 2020
17	7:00 p.m 9:20 p.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	Maria Morelli, AICP, Senior Planner
4	Planning and Community Development
5	Town of Brookline
6	333 Washington Street
7	Brookline, MA 0445
8	(617) 730-2670
9	mmorelli@brooklinema.gov
10	
11	Board of Appeals
12	Jesse Geller, Chairman
13	Johanna Schneider, Board Member
1 4	Kate Poverman, Board Member
15	Randolph Meiklejohn, Board Member
16	
17	Victor Panak, Planning Department
18	Clifford Boehmer, Architect
19	Judi Barrett, Barrett Planning Group
2 0	Jennifer Dopazo-Gilbert, Attorney
21	John Harding, Architect
22	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. GELLER: Good evening, everyone.
3	My name is Jesse Geller. This is a continued
4	application for comprehensive permit involving
5	property located at 500 Harvard Street.
6	The board members seated with me this
7	evening are Kate Poverman, Johanna Schneider,
8	Randolph Meiklejohn. Say your names as I read
9	off your names. Kate?
10	MS. POVERMAN: Here.
11	MR. GELLER: Johanna?
12	MS. SCHNEIDER: Present.
13	MR. GELLER: Randolph?
14	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Present.
15	MR. GELLER: Staff, when I call your
16	name, please respond in the affirmative. Maria
17	Morelli?
18	MS. MORELLI: Present.
19	MR. GELLER: Oh, we don't have anybody
20	else.
21	MS. MORELLI: We have Victor.
22	MR. GELLER: Oh, Victor. Victor, how
23	are you?
24	MR. PANAK: I am good, and I'm here.

MR. GELLER: Great. All speakers should identify themselves by name prior to speaking. This meeting of the ZBA in open -- this hearing of the ZBA in open session is being conducted remotely and in a manner that is consistent with Governor Baker's March 12, 2020 executive order concerning the current state of emergency in the Commonwealth due to the outbreak of the COVID-19 virus.

In order to mitigate the transmission of COVID-19, we have been advised to practice physical distancing, and the requirement of the open meeting law that public bodies such as this conduct their hearings in a publicly accessible physical location has been suspended.

The governor's order, which is posted with agenda materials for this hearing, authorizes this body to meet entirely remotely so long as adequate public access is provided. Adequate public access does not include public participation, unless such participation is required by law.

This hearing will feature public comment. For this hearing, the ZBA is convening

by video conference via Zoom, as posted on the town's calendar, which identifies how the public may access the meeting.

Be advised that this hearing is and that some attendees may be participating by video conference. Accordingly, please be aware that others may be able to see you, and take care not to screen share your computer. Anything that you broadcast may be captured by the recording.

All supporting materials that have been provided to members of this body are available on the town website and attached to this hearing invitation. The hearing will follow the agenda, unless I note otherwise.

Finally, before turning to the agenda,

I'll cover some ground rules that will permit

clear and effective conduct of our business and

help to ensure accurate hearing minutes.

I'll introduce each speaker on the agenda. After they conclude their remarks, I will invite each member, by name, to provide any comment, questions, or motions. Please hold yours until your name is called. Please also

remember to mute your phone or computer when you are not speaking and to speak clearly and in a way that helps generate accurate minutes.

Before responding, please wait until the floor is yielded to you, and state your name before speaking. If members wish to engage in private conversation with other members, please do so through me. Finally, each vote taken at this hearing, should there be a vote taken, will be conducted by roll call vote.

For the public comment component of this hearing, I will first ask members of the public who wish to speak to identify themselves by name and address only. I will call on each by name. Please enter your name into the chat section. You can find the chat icon on the bottom of your screen. Click on this icon, and the chat window will appear on the right.

Our host, Victor -- you're hosting,

Victor -- will cue members in the order in which

it is received. Additionally, we will ask if

members of the public who are calling in would

like to speak in favor of or in opposition to the

project.

For the record, tonight's hearing is being transcribed. So we will have a transcript record approximately two weeks after the date of this hearing. It is also being recorded, and I believe it's also available online on Brookline Cable Television.

Tonight's hearing is focused on architectural design review peer review. I also want to note that our consultant, our 40B consultant, Judi Barrett, is with us. Welcome, Judi. And as we did in our last hearing, last week, September 23, at this hearing, we will offer an opportunity for anyone, any members of the public, who want to offer comment on the subject of this evening's hearing.

So again, as I noted before, I ask that anyone who wants to offer testimony listen to your predecessors. If you agree with them, that's great. Let us know you agree with them, but we don't need to hear the same information several times over. If you have new information, we absolutely want to hear it. Please confine your comments to the topics of this specific hearing.

1	Board members, although tonight's
2	agenda is somewhat limited, we're in the stage
3	of this comprehensive permit application where
4	once we have concluded peer review and last
5	week, we heard traffic and parking, and tonight,
6	again, we'll hear design elements it is
7	incumbent on board members to give their charge
8	to the developer for a response.
9	We will, of course, as we have in the
10	past, give the developer an opportunity for
11	rebuttal after peer review, and then we will
12	have commentary from the public.
13	Maria, do we have any updates on
14	scheduling issues, administrative information?
15	MS. MORELLI: Sure. So just a few
16	things. Let's start with the
17	MR. GELLER: Who are you?
18	MS. MORELLI: with the schedule.
19	MR. GELLER: Who are you?
20	MS. MORELLI: Oh, Maria Morelli
21	MR. GELLER: Thank you.
22	MS. MORELLI: senior planner,
23	planning department. Sorry about that.
24	So as you know, the deadline to close

the public hearing is December 16, 2020, and I
have discussed an extension with the applicants,
and I'm proposing an extension at this time
until January or the end of January 2021.

It's too early to decide the next hearing, but at this point, because we have town meeting, and because we do need to give the applicant time to respond to the charge, I think it's going to be difficult to have hearings in November. So that's why I'm asking for an extension at this time until the end of January. There are a few items --

MR. GELLER: Maria, so you don't feel that it's a possibility in October just because of people's schedules or because of the task at hand, or a little of both?

MS. MORELLI: Right. So this is -it's September 30. I'd like to give the peer
reviewer at least one week to write a follow up
report, and I think, you know, there were a lot
of things, actually -- it was a very dense
report.

So I would expect that we do need to give the peer reviewer at least one week, and I'd

like to give the ZBA about a week to digest that, and, of course, I want to be fair to the applicant. We do like to have at least one or two working group meetings.

So I think to have all of that take place in four weeks would be challenging. We'll always try, but I do think it will be challenging to have the next hearing within four weeks.

MR. GELLER: Okay.

MS. POVERMAN: I know you've thought this all through. I apologize. This is Kate Poverman. The town meeting doesn't start until the middle of November, like around the 15th. Is there any chance of getting in in the first two weeks of November?

MS. MORELLI: Yes, I do think that we can have a hearing in early November, but I really have estimated that we need at least five more hearings, and if I waited until the next hearing in November, and then we have town meeting, that really -- we still need like two more hearings.

So I just don't see that happening before December 16. And I think if we wanted to

L	promote Ms. Dopazo-Gilbert, who's the attorney
2	for the applicant, she could speak to that
3	schedule.

MR. GELLER: Is that a yes, Ms. Morelli?

MS. MORELLI: Yes. Please promote her. Thank you.

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: Thank you. Good evening, everyone. Jennifer Dopazo-Gilbert. So the applicants -- I just want to point out that this will be the third extension that we've granted to the town. And, of course, no one could have predicted the pandemic and the resulting schedule issues and so forth, but certainly, we knew that November is a busy month always for town meeting, and December is a busy month for holidays and whatnot.

So I just want to be very clear that this is the third extension; that time and schedule is very important to the applicants.

So while we are amenable to going to the end of January, and we'll provide Maria with a written extension to that date, I would ask that you reconsider scheduling something in November. I

don't think we need to miss a whole entire month. No one knew that the zoning board was not going to conduct virtual hearings until August, and so this project has been significantly delayed by both the pandemic and the fact that the board began conducting business again in August.

So while we're happy to go with a third extension until the end of January, I don't think we need to go beyond that, especially if we could fit in something in November, whether we revisit traffic and parking and take up the design at a later date, but I would hate to just cross off an entire month and then go into the month of January, which is loaded with holidays and other commitments and so forth. So I'd ask for your consideration there, and thank you very much for letting me speak.

MR. GELLER: Thank you.

MS. MORELLI: Thank you. Mr. Chair, I just want to clarify. I do plan to schedule something for the first week in November. I just feel like October might be a problem, but I certainly will be aggressive in scheduling

1	working	group	meetings	in	October.
---	---------	-------	----------	----	----------

MR. GELLER: Yeah, that would be my personal ask. I think working through this with care and deliberation is important. Other agenda items that are of informational or administrative nature?

MS. MORELLI: Sure. The other things that we are tracking -- the building commissioner has requested, as he does with all 40Bs, a preliminary building code analysis. Sometimes when we have buildings very close to the property line where there's another building on or very close to the property line, he especially wants to look at a preliminary building code analysis, and that would be the case with that north facade.

The applicant has submitted a detailed trash plan, and we would like to have that vetted with the health department and DPW, especially to understand intensity of use, especially with mixed use or retail uses.

We do need to talk a little bit about storm water. I don't have anything other than some civil drawings. So I will -- we'll want to

1-800-727-6396

see something more about storm water so that DPW can respond to that. And those are the three items that we're basically tracking.

MR. GELLER: Maria, forgive me if I missed it. Those town boards, departments that have not already weighed in, are we going to at some point get information from them? For instance, in the past, we've gotten information both, you know, from our respective fire and safety services. You know, I'm sure we're going to want something from DPW or from the town engineering department.

MS. MORELLI: Right, so that's what -the stuff that we do want to hear from, say, DPW
would be trash and storm water. So that would be
for the next hearing. I certainly will be
getting comments before then, so I will
understand how that affects the plans,
especially with the health department. We don't
need to wait until the next hearing to get some
feedback to the applicant.

MR. GELLER: Good, good. Great. So we've got that tracked sort of in our broader schedule purview. Anything else?

1	MS.	MORELLI:	That's	it	at	this	time.

MR. GELLER: Great. So I see Cliff
Boehmer sitting there. One of the advantages of
these virtual hearings is we all get to look at
everybody's home lives, sort of. So it's always
nice to see what an architect's home looks like.
I guess that goes for you, too, Randolph. So
let's promote Cliff, Victor.

MR. PANAK: I believe he is promoted.

MS. MORELLI: He is promoted. I don't know if he needs to share his screen. It's super easy.

MR. PANAK: I have authorized it. So Cliff, you should be able to share your screen.

MR. BOEHMER: I'm not sure I really need to, but it may be -- if some people need to look at the images, that's fine.

I think Maria mentioned earlier that this report is dense, and it is. So I think it would be pretty boring for me to go through and read it. I don't really want to do that, and I think I've tried that at some times in the past, and it's not very interesting. So I guess I would like to start -- a couple -- make a couple

of kind of broad statements that will help put all the detail in that density into perspective.

I think it's pretty clear from my report that I'm not very supportive of the design of this development at this point, and there are a lot of reasons why, and they're sewn into this report at various levels. But I think it might make the most sense to make a kind of general statement about context and then finish up maybe with just a teenie bit of discussion about the one image that I did include in my report.

I think that they -- from my
perspective, there are obviously many ways to
review the design of buildings. I think that one
way that I tend to look at it, and I think you're
all familiar with, is a notion of looking at
existing patterns of development, looking for
cues from the neighborhood about ways that the
building can fit in as well as possible, knowing
that the density and bulk of the building might
very well be out of context.

So this isn't a game of replication.

It's more a way of really -- I think if you stick

on that image, maybe that's the best one. But in any case, I think there is a kind of -- the problem that I have with what I've seen so far -- and I will say that a lot of this is maybe tainted, because the same developer has done a building just up the street. The address is escaping me. I think it's maybe 455 is the development that was one of the earlier of the 40Bs along Harvard Street. And so it's a little hard to not keep looking back at lessons learned from that.

But I think what I'd like to say is
that there are a kind -- the way I look at what's
been done on this project to date is what I would
call a kind of establishment of a developable
envelope, which is simply stated to draw a line
around close -- as close to as credibly possible
to the lot lines, and as you know, this one is
very close on Harvard Street in particular.

Generally, this kind of study of a developable envelope is cognizant of building code issues. So it might stay five feet away in some places because at that point, you can put -- the building code allows you to put windows in.

A certain percentage of the surface area can be fenestrated.

So there's a logic to it, but the method, and it's an early study method, typically, would be to draw that line around the perimeter of the site, extrude the building up to a point where I think the height -- and I don't mean this sarcastically; I do a lot of buildings myself -- to a point where it passes the laugh (?) test as far as the height of the building that really works, and that kind of approach can work in certain types of developments.

I think urban infill developments are a good example where there's no reason to not do exactly that. Grab as much of the developable envelope as possible, and then work with fabric around you to make the kind of building that you want and make the statement you want and accommodate the uses that are your objective.

I think another way -- so I guess I could -- would call that a kind of contextual idea in a sense, but certainly when in this kind of infill situation. I think another way that a

lot of architects look at developments is more of -- rather than a fabric building, more of an object building kind of approach, and there are many, many examples of it in Brookline, and a couple even on Harvard Street.

And what that is is a conscious break from context. It might be a different kind of approach as far as the expression, a facade expression, or it might be a different kind of massing approach. But in any case, it makes a statement about being a different kind of building, potentially very different kind of scale, but it stands as an object. It's not really directly trying to fit into immediate context.

So I think the issue I have with this building is it doesn't really do either. It's a kind of contextual building, but in the wrong context. And that's why I included that image at the end, where if you look in the kind of urban setting, and I think that was Main Street in Worcester, this building would fit in very well. Absolutely, it would solve a corner condition. It could work very well.

I think in this -- where this building sits now, it isn't fabric, because if it were fabric, it would make some acknowledgment of the context and maybe define the -- figure out -- start with that developable volume, but then work with that volume in a way to make it fit in and really strengthen the context, as many of the buildings in this specific area actually do, where they reinforce each other.

So it clearly doesn't do that. It's the piece of clay waiting to be carved. Nor does it fulfill -- even though it dimensionally is, in fact -- I believe it would be the tallest building on Harvard Street, it doesn't really take advantage of its height to make much of a statement beyond what I said was the definition of a developable envelope.

So from my perspective, it's a building -- and this may sound harsher than the way I normally am, but it's a building waiting to be designed. I acknowledge that it has recognized the borders -- the constraints of the site by following the lot lines. I think in its current, the notion of extruding it straight up

creates conditions that are unlike any other conditions on Harvard Street. Harvard Street has a lot of anomalies, it has some holes in it, but overall, the attitude along Harvard Street has been strengthening the pedestrian experience, creating an active streetscape.

Going back to what I said at the beginning, this developer -- I think, again, that kind of tension I feel about this one is this developer, I thought, did really an excellent job on the first building that I reviewed that they did on Harvard Street, which, in many ways, was actually harder. It was a harder building as far as figuring out what that building should say. The context was different. It was on a corner, but it only had one small wood frame residential building.

The building across the street that was developed subsequently wasn't there yet, and on the north side of that building, there was just a big parking lot, and there was a lot of care and attention paid to -- in that case, because it was an earlier incarnation of a mixed use building on Harvard Street, it really set an

example that I think really did have a positive impact on other buildings that have been developed along Harvard Street.

So the -- getting back to the density issue, the reason it's so dense, I think, is because there's so much opportunity in this building, unlike the building at 4 -- I think I'm right it's 455. If I'm wrong, I think you probably know the one I'm talking about. Unlike 455, this building has some very interesting context to the north, to the west. It has already a nicely established setback on the neighbor to the north.

There's tons of cues that can be taken from those buildings that actually -- and when I meant that there's some nice relationships going on already, if you look across Verndale, those buildings are all working together well. That type, that lower -- I think the three-story Main Street buildings with the strong base on them setback for some landscaping, those work very well, and this building really doesn't even take any cues from them.

So I think that what my report goes

into are some of the kind of normal laundry list things that are going to at the end of it, of dismissing the information in the documents. But for me, what's really missing more than anything is really creating a volume that either works as celebration of being the tallest building on Harvard Street, and somebody's got to be the tallest building.

So there's nothing inherently wrong with that. Nor does it find a way to really create a bigger sense of itself as those buildings across the street from Verndale do and other buildings that work with context to create a more coherent streetscape.

So I think that is kind of my biggest point. I really do have a lot of details in that report of things that I think need to be looked at, I think the parking. I brought up a point about getting an advisory opinion from AAB. I think that is important. There are some sections of that code that do need to be properly interpreted, and to me, that's not a design development or construction document issue.

That is a feasability issue in the sense that --

num

you know, I know you folks talk a lot about number of parking spaces, and I think you should know what you're actually working with.

So I think there are issues about -and we've had this issue come up on other
developments about geotechnical information.
This building is being built with very minimal
setbacks to the neighbor. Maybe the developer
already knows everything about the neighboring
buildings and has taken their structural
stability into account. I don't really know.
That's why I brought it up.

So I think -- you know, I think you know most of the kinds of strategies, you've heard me say it many times, of ways of taking cues from adjacent buildings, whether it's through materiality or dimensional cues, of stringing -- in this case, a building directly to the north has very strong cornice lines.

I think the setback is something that will ensure, or certainly strengthen strong pedestrian activity. And this is a kind of funny area on Harvard Street, where things peter out a little bit, and I think that's not a situation to

build kind of a novelist building. I think it's an opportunity again to make -- I mean, there's a gigantic open space directly across the street. It's easy to imagine that that could be developed in the future, as well.

So I think my interest in this
building would be to have the same level of
expectations and performance that I saw in the
other building that this developer did and make
a better case for what it is they're trying to
do. So I can leave it at that. Again, there's a
lot of information in my report.

I did -- I think what -- I think one statement I made in there that maybe isn't all that clear was -- and it is important to me that it -- this to me is not, per se, about building height, and you've heard me say that a million times. It's not strictly about the volume or height of the building. It's where the volume of the -- or the height is placed, because a building isn't just a building. It's part of the streetscape, and that's important to me.

In fact, today, I read a letter that I thought was really interesting, and I'm sure

you've all read it. It was a letter from, I believe, neighbors on Verndale Street who made a really compelling case about being very careful about making suggestions about carving away at buildings, because there's a loss. It isn't -- you know, whether or not it's a loss of profit, who knows, but there is a loss in the possibility of creating more affordable units, and that's something I'm extremely sensitive to.

But to me, it's a good point, but what it says to me is not necessarily that you can't even work -- with the volume we're looking at, I'm skeptical, but again, it's not whether it's there. It's where it is. It's where in the building the volume is is what really matters.

So I would repeat what I said. This is a building -- this is a block that is ready to be designed, and that's my opinion. I think you could -- if you look at the elevations of the building, there are hints of places where you could introduce articulation into the building that would start to speak to nearby context.

And again, I think that one thing that is pretty tough to get around is the setback

1-800-727-6396

issue. I think a 72-foot tall sheer wall with that minimal setback along Harvard Street, I really don't see how that could work. It's a tough one, a really tough one. And I think if you started to imagine a streetscape with it built out on the other side, it would become pretty obvious that it's untenable.

So that's kind of where I'm at. I think there are other notes in there that are kind of touching on edges where you probably shouldn't listen to me, because it's not really within my expertise, but I could imagine other uses on the first floor that might work better than what's proposed now.

But again, my main issue now is that they're ready to start this, and what I've seen — which is, again, why there's a lot of density in my report, because there's — there are just a lot of things to think about at this level of design in the building. There's more not stated than what is stated.

So I'll leave it at that for the moment, unless you want me to go into detail. I would say it is important, as far as a charge

that you might consider making, there are a lot of -- at the very, very end of the report some things that really are just plain missing that I think you would need to know to really understand the building better.

I think -- I guess I'll make one other point. Remember, that as tall as this building already is, we don't really know about the roofscape at this point, what that might look like, too, and this is a very visible building -- will be a very visible building. Particularly from the south, I think you have the best perspective on it.

So these are all issues that have come up on other buildings that we've worked together on on Harvard Street, and this one has put a kind of tough challenge out there to fit this kind of volume and make it work.

And actually, now I remember what I was going to say, is that statement I made in the report is I can't tell from the work that's been done so far how tall of a building actually could work on this site, because we haven't seen anything other than what you see in that image,

1	which is really not articulated, extruded a six-
2	story block. So I'll leave it at that, unless
3	people well, I hope people have questions.
4	MR. GELLER: Thank you, Cliff. Board
5	members, questions for Cliff about his report or
6	his presentation?
7	MS. POVERMAN: I can't hear you,
8	Jesse.
9	MS. SCHNEIDER: I have a question.
10	Jesse, you did just go mute.
11	MR. GELLER: I'm not muted, so
12	MR. BOEHMER: You're just a little
13	soft.
14	MS. SCHNEIDER: Maybe just a little
15	soft.
16	MR. GELLER: That's the first time
17	I've been accused of that, but okay.
18	MS. POVERMAN: You're still too low.
19	MS. SCHNEIDER: Jesse, may I ask Cliff
20	some questions?
21	MR. GELLER: Please, go ahead.
22	MS. SCHNEIDER: Hi, Cliff. How are
23	you?
24	MR. BOEHMER: I'm good. I hope you're

1	okay
---	------

MS. SCHNEIDER: Yeah, good. So I'm going to start with an observation, and then I do have a couple of specific questions.

I think the first thing that I would observe, and maybe the other board members agree, is that in all of these 40Bs -- and there have been many over the last several years that we've worked on with you -- I think this is the most negative that you've been with the developers starting design.

I guess one of the things that I am interested in is whether you have an opinion about open space on this site. I mean, obviously, right now, the way the building is proposed, there is no open space, as far as I can tell, for the residents of the building or neighbors to use the public amenity.

I mean, do you think this is a location that's suitable for open space, again, either public or resident amenity, and I guess on a related note, do you have specific thoughts about what kinds of setbacks would be appropriate for this structure?

1-800-727-6396

1

I know it's hard because, you know, the building, as you point out, is not terribly designed. It's just sort of this bulky lot. We don't know if there would be stepbacks of higher levels that might provide a little bit of relief, but I think -- you know, you pointed out, and I think you're not the first one, although you're the most expert one, to point out that there is sort of precedent in the neighborhood for there to be these, you know, front yard setbacks, for example, for other structures.

What do you think is appropriate in this location?

MR. BOEHMER: Well, I'll start with open space. It's not an easy site. I mean, I do want to say I think it is a very developable site, and I think it should be developed. I may be negative, but I'm not that negative.

I think it should be developed, and it's not very big. I mean, that's a problem -- that's why you're having the issues about the parking, is you -- there's not a whole lot you can do. You certainly can't go underground. By the time you built a ramp, you would have used

up, you know, two thirds of the usable space.

So it's got challenges that way, and I think to create -- other than a rooftop, to create pleasant usable space -- well, rooftop or some significant setback on some other level, some elevated useful space, it would be pretty tough on this site. And I think that given right up the street there is a big park, a really nice park, a five-minute walk away, that, to me, is not all that important. It just may be my own values. I do have a lot of grandchildren I love to play with, but I can walk five minutes to get to a park.

So that, to me, is not such an issue.

I would rather see a more successful streetscape
than foreseeing an issue about usable open space
on the site.

As far as the effective setbacks, I think it would be a real mistake to not respect the setback of the neighbor to the north. Those are beautiful buildings. The Verndale buildings and the neighbor to the north, they're wonderful. They're really historically significant, and just ignoring them, I don't see

the point, really. I don't think it's a great
long term solution. It's not good for the
street. It's already -- you know, it's somewhat
-- as I said, it's a funny area. It's been oddly
developed. It's going to be probably developed
more responsibly, I think in the bigger open
spaces there.

So that, to me, is fundamental, especially given that it is the tallest building on Harvard Street. So with that -- I think it's literally like a six-inch setback on Harvard.

It's a very, very small setback on Harvard

Street. And not only does it constrain any uses, you know, potential uses, for that first floor space if it ends up retail or commercial -- it's hard to imagine -- you have no real ability to take advantage of that sidewalk space, when there's a hardware store up the street that has its wares out in front there. People can sit outside at a café.

So I think just from a functional perspective, it's very restrictive. And again, I think probably more importantly, is whether it also restricts kinds of overhang so you could

1-800-727-6396

have at the lower level that would make a more inviting environment. So it creates not a very attractive commercial potential on the first level, and it's just too tight. It's a tight corner as it is.

need to be studied, I think. You know, when I first looked at this building, I thought that a nice idea might be to have -- to restrict the commercial space to just the frontage along Harvard Street, and perhaps start residential use, maybe at an elevated first floor level immediately adjacent to the neighbor and start to tie back in when you could have a set -- a bigger setback from Kenwood at that point.

So, you know, I guess I can't really say definitively because the -- I know what I would try if I were studying it -- you know, studying the volume of it. I think setback to the north was done with respect to the neighbor that has windows with inadequate setback, even though it certainly is going to be a radically changed perspective for -- I'm not sure, are those condominiums to the north? I think they

are. They're not rental.

But to the unit owner there that has been used to looking out and getting southern light in their window, in particular, is going to be not too happy about that. But I think that's kind of their problem for building too close to the property line.

So I don't have a strong answer, other than I'm positive about Harvard Street. There needs to be bigger setback. Again, the building is very tall for the street, so setting it back at the level of a three-story building next door is still going to be an unusual situation for that street.

MS. SCHNEIDER: Thank you, Cliff.

MS. POVERMAN: I have a couple of comments, questions. First, I think this was a fantastic report, probably the best and most helpful that I've seen; not to denigrate any of your previous reports at all.

And having worked on the 455 project that the Daneshes also worked on, I was also surprised that we didn't see something closer to that, realizing that that was the result of a lot

1 of negotiation and refining. Also, I'll say I 2 3 4 5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

think that project is looking great, and there's a lot of differentiation in its character, and I think it adds to the corner where it is, whereas, as you stated, this is just basically, I don't know, a ton of bricks being put down on the ground.

But then what I sort of struggle with is all -- I agree with all of your So I feel like I can't pick and recommendations. Maybe when we give a charge, we'll go choose. through and say oh, yes, this or this or this. But my concern, I quess, is that we're not going to be specific enough in this discussion.

But you said, more importantly, that you had some ideas of how it should look, and you gave some comments, basically caring for the character. Can you expound a little more on that?

MR. BOEHMER: Well -- and again, a lot of this is things you've heard, and I really don't want to give the impression that there can't be a taller building on the street, that it has to be, you know, replicate of anything on the

street. So for me, the kind of standard tools that the designer has available; first of all, look at the street section.

Particularly, I'll stick with Harvard Street because that's one I think we kind of know what the needs of Harvard Street are, pretty specifically, as far as maintaining good pedestrian use of the street. So the setback really matters. And looking at a street section and understanding that the reason the buildings next door work so well is because there is a very strong cornice line at the third level, and the building is set back eight to ten feet.

The fact that it is made of -- it's nicely -- nice materials, well deployed is of course important. And I think I made some comments about creating some more interest at the storefront level. There's not a whole lot going on in the current design.

So anyway, that's kind of number one is look at the street section, and from that, figure out what is the appropriate height to maintain a character on the street that is supportive of existing context, and I think

creates an attractive pedestrian environment.

From that point on, the site is -- it has some advantages as far as impact, you know, shadow impact. So from that point on, to me, it's a matter of a similar kind of analysis of figuring out where are the appropriate places. And you can see from the building and elevation, there were some, essentially, you know, very superficial gestures made.

I'm thinking along the Kenwood elevation, for example, there is -- where the garage doors are, there is an effort made to emphasize a smaller scale facade, even though it's basically in the same plane as the rest of the building, but you could see that they were thinking about that in a kind of painterly (?) way, but not really a sculptor's view of the building.

So I would say that from what I see in the existing drawings, you could even start with those existing elevations and start to think about them in a more volumetric way. There were some -- other than setbacks. Setbacks, again, are -- you've got to get that right, the street

setbacks, and setback to the neighbor. But there were some efforts made, and I think they said that, about layering.

The problem with the kind of layering they're doing -- and it is very superficial, so it would barely even cast a shadow. So if I were working on this, I would take -- I don't think they're necessarily wrong about where they recognize there need to be some dimensional statement or articulation, but start to think of it more three dimensionally.

You know, I know I said this already, but it just has not be studied three dimensionally, this building hasn't, and certainly hasn't been -- I think that, you know, it's interesting, because it is complete open space across the street.

So what's a street section going to show. You know, it's an odd condition on Harvard to have -- well, it doesn't exist anywhere on Harvard, but it's not conditioned, in any case, along Harvard to have no building on the other side of the street. So you're not going to get the full sense of what that street is like, but I

think you want to -- you need to look ahead and kind of think about your half of the street and think about what could happen on the other side of the street.

And again, I really think a lot of my concern is fueled by seeing what I've seen in the past by the very same developer, who I thought was extremely sensitive to context and created a nice precedent for mixed use on Harvard Street, which, as we now know, there are probably only five buildings that are true mixed use buildings on Harvard Street.

MS. POVERMAN: But it's something -- I mean, not exactly picking 455 up and moving it, but would something with similar articulation that sort of echos that building with the stepbacks on top -- do you think something like that would be more successful?

MR. BOEHMER: Yes. I'm saying that hesitantly because it -- mainly because this building -- the existing proposal is -- it's at, you know, a nascent phase and has -- so yes, I think looking at those kind of strategies could help a lot.

Whether that -- you know, I don't remember -- I mean, I remember a lot about what was decided and working with the yard. I mean, that was another nice thing that I thought 455 did was a very subtle move of kind of angling the building back, but it's -- you know, it's those kind of sensitive moves that really make a building work.

But yes, I mean, that building has a strong base, it has a body, and it has an attic level. The material changes correspond with changes in the plane -- you know, the plane of the facade. It works very well, and it's simple. It's not a complicated building, just like that neighboring building to the north. That's not a complex building, the little condominium to the north. It's quite simple, but very effective.

MS. POVERMAN: So one of the things that has been raised is the possibility of moving the driveway to Harvard Street, which raises issues -- certainly issues of its own in terms of pedestrian traffic, etcetera. But if it were moved to Harvard Street, do you envision at all a design that could allow more parking

because of that design, whether it's going down
-- whether you're able to have a deeper slope?

I'm just wondering if there are architectural -- I guess Jesse is ready to jump in about something, but are there architectural reasons where that might be workable, resulting in a better parking plan and more disability friendly parking?

MR. BOEHMER: Well, Kate, I appreciate the question, and I've read the traffic reports and the revised traffic study, but I would never move the vehicular entry to Harvard Street. To put a big garage door on such an important pedestrian way, I would never do that. I think it's disruptive to -- I think -- again, I really don't want to drift too far into realms that are not my thing, but I think it is disruptive to bicycles, pedestrians. I know it's disruptive to the facade of the building.

MS. POVERMAN: That's helpful, it is. That's all I have for now.

MR. GELLER: Great. Thank you, Kate.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Can I ask a few questions while the Chair is working on his

P	aq	re	4	3

MR. GELLER: I worked on it. It's not working.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I'm Randolph

Meiklejohn. I'm going to go ahead with a few

questions. Hi, again, Cliff. Nice to see you.

MR. BOEHMER: Hi, Randolph.

Likewise.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I have a few questions. First of all, I thank you for the letter, you know, and if -- I appreciate the long letter and the short summary so we have time for some discussion. I did want to ask you about a couple of your mitigation measures and what your motivations were for listing them.

And then it was such a nice day today, I took a site walk, and I organized it -- because of the way you wrote your letter about, I organized it around the other 40B developments in this area, and I just want to share a few things with you on what I found, because they connect -- they all connect to things in your letter.

So in your mitigation ideas, there

1-800-727-6396

were two items that dealt with uses on the first floor, and they weren't directly related, but they both sort of had to do with replanning the first floor. You know, it certainly would affect the commercial space of the storefront.

One of them was this idea of eliminating the internal parking, and, as you mentioned, it's a small lot, and even six cars, you know, takes up a certain -- a reasonable fraction of the footprint. And the other was this idea of moving the residential lot to Kenwood Street. Can you talk about what you think the payoff might be from either one or both of those?

MR. BOEHMER: Yeah, and -- yeah. I mean, to me, the -- you can disregard as much as you want to disregard. But to me, in a building in this location that is so well served by public transportation, to go through what it takes to get so few parking spaces in, and I'm not even convinced that -- and probably the applicant has already looked at this, but given A, B potential issues, it might not even be possible to get in the number of spaces that are shown there.

1
_

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

24

23

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Cliff, I'm actually asking a much narrower question. Why would -- if that's okay. And it's nothing to do with cars, and the question is why would it be positive, or would it be positive to have more retail space?

MR. BOEHMER: Well, you know, that's the developer's thing. I mean, whether having more rental space makes their proforma work better, that, I genuinely don't know. I think the intent of that comment was more that it's a better use for the space, of course assuming that it's rentable, that somebody would want to rent that space.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I mean, you suggested that it -- you know, a bigger commercial space behind the storefront would activate the facade. Is that because you can just get a bigger, livelier business in there, or what makes it activated?

Yeah, I think it's that, MR. BOEHMER: but I think there are other -- again, I think the main point I was trying to make is dedicate so much space on such a small site and increase the floor to floor height, or at least the first

floor. To make that happen, it just -- it

doesn't make sense to me for a number of reasons.

So whether it's residential -- and I think there could be a very nice plan that could turn the Kenwood side where the -- closest to the neighbor, where that could be a residential use, as well. If the numbers don't work for the commercial space, and it might be better to create another unit or two down at that level, that, to me, could work very well, extend the residential use down to the ground.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: I mean, this is one of the things that I looked at on the site walk, which is -- you know, and I walked back and forth a bit. I think it's -- I'm ready to argue that walking is the way -- you know, we're designing for walkers in a lot of ways. So we're asking for design that works with walking.

And one of the things you see at these other 40B developments is that there's a particular place where the residential identity of the building, you know, is spiked, you know, the tenants' or the occupants' entrance, which I think in all cases -- I'm not sure about the one

at KI, but the other two closer, it's right on Harvard, you know, the building -- it has a Harvard -- the name Harvard is part of the address. You can -- in the other -- in the last hearing, we talked a lot about, you know, rideshare pickup and drop-off. You can kind of imagine that that's where, you know, you want your Uber to come, and you just scoot right out the door onto the Harvard sidewalk.

But I also noticed that, as you said, the vehicle entrances were on the side streets, on Fuller, Thorndike, and I'm inclined to agree with the thing you said just a moment ago that that's -- Harvard Street is not a better place for the garage, and I saw that you had comments about the garage door size and so on.

I'm not going to go into these in detail, but the thing that I found myself thinking about the most and that you've just spoken about in your comments, is this, you know, this inner -- the inner relationships of setback and height, you know, building height, number of stories, and the opportunity to shape the building in a

1-800-727-6396

1 couple of ways.

One is through some kind of modulation of the facades, and we see that at the adjacent, you know, masonry buildings with the bows, but you also saw it in the other most comparable 40B projects at 455 and 420, where, you know, the building is either strongly banded and, you know, only one or two stories per material, like at 455, or, in the case of the building at Fuller Street, that there's kind of vertical in and out, which gives you kind of a -- you know, it creates shadows. It just creates a lot of -- it breaks up the surface, and it creates a texture on the building, which is comparable to the -- you know, the really three dimensional houses that are down the side streets.

And, you know, I also -- I think the high stepbacks for the top stories are really, really working well on those other 40B buildings, but it might be that this is the smallest footprint among the 40B, right. So here's -- I'm hoping we're going to see some options, you know, at subsequent meetings, you know, if we ask for a building that respects the

landscape setback on Harvard Street. And I
think that's fundamental. It goes in both
directions. You know, it's landscaped. Even
the parking lots have landscaping as you walk up
to Columbia Street by the Chabad House and the

Levine Chapels.

The idea that this building would break it, it just seems like a fundamental wrong move, but if you do that, you constrain the footprint of the building. Again, let's assume that the unit count is -- remains the same, or the gross square footage. You know, you've made a smaller building footprint because it's just that much harder to achieve a stepback on the top because the thing is getting smaller.

And this also made me look back at the first proposal that we got and notice what a cube it is. We're going to get into some construction economics discussions, I think, because, you know, if we're -- we're going to ask for -- nice materials tend to be expensive.

The current design that's put forward is the one that has the least amount of skin to volume. And, you know, the more that we request,

1-800-727-6396

you know, stepping back and setting back, it's going to increase the skin to volume and increase the -- you know, the construction cost, you know, assuming the gross square footage stays the same.

I appreciated your request about -and I think this is to support, you know, I hope
discussion of alternatives like this -- your
request for site plans that show context and the
setbacks of buildings in the context to the
right and to the left.

I think that's going to help us a lot, and I'm thinking about it as much as anything else, because, as I said before, I think this -- and it was your number one mitigation item, too, the setback of the street space, which is so important to the pedestrian experience, you know, all up and down Harvard Street. I think that's how we're going to see how they get that done right. Thanks again. Those are my questions and comments for now.

MS. POVERMAN: Could I just jump in with one question to follow up on setbacks? At 455, they had -- it's sort of cantilevered so

1 that there's a setback at the, as I recall, 2 bottom level, and then the rest of the building going out above it, unless I'm just remembering that wrong. Would something like that work to 4 5 create the streetscape or a setback issue 6 without potentially getting away with getting 7 rid of too much volume, or does it just sound 8 like a horrible idea from the get-go? 9 MR. BOEHMER: I don't think that would 10

MR. BOEHMER: I don't think that would work, and that's my own feeling, but you don't know until you try. You know, that's what street -- cutting street sections show you and, you know, doing more of -- these are probably sketch up views of -- you know, it's very easy technology to look at these things really quickly, but you've got to remember that there's still more of the building.

So if you're looking from the north, you're still going to see kind of this piece of the building coming out proud of the building, you know, the -- but it could -- you know, from a street section perspective, I think probably not, but I would certainly look at it.

Randolph, I missed one point you did

1-800-727-6396

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

make, or I confused it with another point,
because when I was talking about moving the
residential entry -- I think that's what I said,
is move the residential entry around to Kenwood
Street, and then have more continuous frontage
for the retail use along Harvard Street. Is tha
what you were referring to? And then you could
probably --

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yeah, it was Cliff, and the only reason I was questioning it was that the -- at the other building -- at the other 40B developments, you know, the residential entrances are on Harvard, and, you know, to me, they're successful, so I wondered whether you thought they were not.

MR. BOEHMER: Yeah. No, I agree, and especially because -- I mean, I think that's a really good point, especially because the practical drop-off is what I think is being proposed, which is some striped parking spaces on Harvard Street.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: It's a loading zone.

MR. BOEHMER: Yeah.

MR. GELLER: Great. Thank you. Can

1 you he	ear me, Cliff?
----------	----------------

MR. BOEHMER: Yeah.

MR. GELLER: I think the notion of setbacks, isn't it really a function of context, and therefore, you get two discreet reads here, at least? I mean, you've got Kenwood Street with residential properties to the rear, and then you've got Harvard Street. And don't each of those, respectively, deserve a different contextual setback?

MR. BOEHMER: Yeah, and -- yes. You know, I'm looking at the --

MR. GELLER: It's not a one size fits all?

MR. BOEHMER: No, no, no, not at all, not at all, and, in fact, at 455, that was kind of what happened. There was a setback along Harvard Street, and then they kind of drew a beeline across from that corner back to the front yard of the adjacent building. I don't remember -- sorry, I don't remember which side street that is, but to the neighbor there.

So there was a different approach.

And then, of course, the building line, in this

1 case, the west -- the east face of the building 2 is another question, as well, you know, because there's a property line that I think the setback -- there were kind of practical issues I had with 4 that setback, but as far as how it works 5 6 volumetrically, I think like other parts of the 7 building, it hasn't been studied very much, but 8 there's a lot of stuff happening on that side of 9 the building facing the neighbor on Kenwood 10 Street.

One of the plans shows all the gas meters that are along that line. There are also racks for 30 bicycles shown back there. It's not clear how much of that area would -- whether it's going to have grates to ventilate the garage or not, and yet there's only a walkway over there, a relatively narrow walkway.

I brought that up in the report that there's kind of a lot stuffed back into that side of the building, as well as a reference to where the site transformer might go. And that, I just couldn't figure out, other than putting in a vault I think would be the only way you could do it, because you would block that -- if you had to

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

put the transformer there, there are constraints, distance from property lines that the utility would like put a transformer. So that side of the building, to me, was particularly unresolved, irrespective of what the setback should be.

MR. GELLER: And similarly, the horizontal lines will also be contextual, as will the facade treatment and fenestration?

MR. BOEHMER: Yeah, yeah. I mean,
Randolph brought it up that the -- that, you
know, horizontal lines is what helped all the
other 40Bs on Harvard Street work is figuring
out the right level to make the -- to make a move
in material --

MR. GELLER: There are vertical ones. Some of them are vertical.

MR. BOEHMER: That's right, to break up the volume that way, and it is -- you know, it's a fundamental problem with 40B buildings. It always is. They're going to want to be bigger than the neighbors. So that's one of the basic issues you deal with.

MR. GELLER: Anything else for Cliff

1	from anybody else? No. Johanna, no? Randolph,
2	no? Kate, no? Great. Cliff, thank you. I am
3	sure we will need to and will hear from you
4	again.

MR. BOEHMER: Okay. Well, I'm happy if I can help.

MR. GELLER: Great. Thank you very much.

I want to offer an opportunity at this point for the petitioner to rebut any information that we've obtained from our peer reviewer. Who wants to speak?

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: Yeah, sure. I'd just like to say a few words, and then I'd like to give our architect who we have here with us this evening, John Harding, a moment to speak the language of the architects, but I just -- before we go too far down, I do want to answer one question that Kate wanted an answer right away on, and that was with respect to the occupancy at 455 Harvard. So there is only one vacancy at this time, and all 11 parking spaces are rented.

And then the other point was one that

1-800-727-6396

Randolph raised with regard to a pit system, which was looked at very early on and found to be not feasible, but we are going to, Randolph, based on your suggestion, take a look at that again, and then depending on the direction that we get and the charge that we get from the board, will play into whether we can make that feasible by sharpening our pencils.

And then with respect to 455 Harvard, which was also my project, I just wanted to say that with respect to Kate thinking that it was cantilever, there was a lot of discussion about that, and actually it's not, but to make it -- it's interesting that you think of it that way, because I actually did, too, and then confirmed that it's actually just stepped back at the third level.

And Cliff, you had said that it steps back at Harvard. It does not. It's zero setback on Harvard. But what we did do there was set it back on Thorndike, but the windows were also set in a bit, so that gives it, I guess, the illusion that there may be a setback there. But it's actually not set back from Harvard, but it is set

So those are my preliminary comments there, and I want to just let John Harding speak specifically to some of the feedback that we got this evening, but also in that very comprehensive report that we were pleased to get from Cliff, because there's a lot for the team to think about. So go ahead, John. If you could promote John Harding from Cube 3.

MR. HARDING: Thanks, Jennifer. Can everybody hear me okay?

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: Yes.

MR. HARDING: I just want to thank
Cliff for providing comment on the project. We
have a long history working together,
collaborating, and I think that he brings a lot
of great insight into context and building
massing and everything to go with architecture,
and he's very knowledgeable, and I appreciate
having his comments.

I think there's definitely some things with this project, and I will remind everybody that this design was submitted 14 months ago or so. It was quite a long time ago, so we haven't

1-800-727-6396

had a chance to revisit it in a long, long time. We've learned a lot during that time through the hearing from December. I think 2018 was our first initial presentation. So we've got a lot of comments. We've been digesting it. We're excited to take a look at it and to try to see what comments here we can work with.

I think that there's -- as Jennifer just said, there's some things that we're definitely going to consider, and there are some things here that we can definitely do to play with the facade to help create that sense of setback, just like we did at 455, so that the columns at the retail level come right out to -- inches away from the property line, and then those storefronts are set back in.

So I think that doing something like that really helps promote some depth to the facade, and we definitely can look at stuff like that. Right now, this is very schematic and conceptual, as you know.

I think there's definitely some cues that we've tried to take in -- we've tried to take from context and create some relationships

with -- as you can see, the masonry areas, and I
know Cliff said it's a little bit superficial.

That's something that we will continue to
develop and work on and try to find detailing

that will help make that feel appropriate.

So I think that -- we want to make sure this is a building that fits the context. The Danesh family developers are from Brookline.

They care -- they've cared very much about making this building a part of Brookline's fabric and its future.

So I think this is something that we're going to take seriously and take a look at, and we're very excited to get the charge from the ZBA here to know how you want us to take a look at what's important to you for us to try to move forward with.

And I think that -- Randolph, thank
you for your comments. You've taken a very
logistical approach to looking at it,
understanding that setting back the ground floor
makes it very difficult to do anything up above.
It's a whole set of constraints that we need to
try to figure out what's the best mixture of

solutions here that are going to create the best projects.

So I think that there's a lot of things for us to look at. We're very interested to hear what your, I guess, biggest pet peeves are that you want us to try to solve, and we're excited to try to solve that. So I'm here if you have any questions specifically for me, and otherwise, I'll go to mute, and let you guys talk.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Great. At this point, what we're going to do is Victor, I -- can you let me know do we have members of the public who want to offer their testimony?

MR. PANAK: We do.

MR. GELLER: Great. So why don't you cue them up and promote people in order.

MR. PANAK: Sure. The first on the list is Robert Lepson. Give me just one moment to promote him. Robert, you're now a panelist, so you should be able to turn on your microphone and your video, if you'd like, and give us your name and your address. Then you can provide us your comment.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	

MR. LEPSON: Sure. Good evening,
everyone. My name is Robert Lepson. I live at
36 Thorndike Street, and I'm a town meeting
member in Precinct 9, which is this precinct
that we're discussing. I'm also a member of the
Commission for Diversity Inclusion and Community
Relations, and I spend an awful lot of my time on
that commission working for affordable housing.

So this is a very, very important topic to me, both from a local perspective, my precinct, as well as from a town perspective and from a policy perspective, as well. So thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak tonight. So I guess there's a couple of things that I do want to point out.

The first thing is something that

Randolph said earlier about the entrances on -
for the 40Bs. Randolph, forgive me, but if I'm

not mistaken, the 420 Harvard Street, the

entrance is actually on Fuller Street for the

residential right next to the garage. Tatte

occupies the main Harvard Street facade, and

there are a couple of other businesses.

There is a sign that says 420 Harvard

Street, but there's no way into the building that way. So if you just walk around the corner onto Fuller, that's the way actually into the building. And something like that on Kenwood, I think could also work very, very well. There's no question for this particular building.

You know, the six units of affordable housing that this building brings is music to my ears, and 30 new units, in general, makes an awful lot of sense. This helps, you know, certainly increase the supply of housing, in general, and certainly increases affordable housing.

So from that perspective, I'm in favor of this type of development from happening, but from an aesthetic perspective, I have some real issues. And I would agree, and I think that the conversation has happened beautifully up to now about the whole setback issues.

Frankly, I'm surprised that people are looking at 455 Harvard and saying, oh, what a magnificent building. To me, it's -- there's some serious, serious aesthetic problems there, as well. And, you know, just as an anecdote, my

1 niece, who lives over in Arlington, hadn't been over to our house in, you know, several months, and we came up Harvard from Allston, and she says what on earth is that building. And it's not 4 just my niece, but several of our neighbors and 6 other people who are also in favor of these types of housing developments also feel very, very strongly that this -- the architecture of 455 is problematic. And when I look at 500 at this 10 plan, it reminds me an awful lot of 455, and I 11 just wanted to put that out there.

> There was also a comment earlier about that the commercial space for 500, the way it's potentially drawn up at the moment is not very attractive. If I'm not mistaken, 455 -- in fact, I know 455 is still empty. I don't know if there are any plans -- where things stand as far as it actually being rented, but I see zero, and I mean zero, construction going on or a permit or anything in terms of renting that space.

> I'm not quite sure where that stands. Certainly, the owners of that building can let me know, but right now, it's an empty facade, and I think that potentially that -- the setback

2

5

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 issues
2 as well

issues of 455 are potentially problematic there, as well.

When I look at the garage entrance, the driveway entrance, that is on the building at 500, or at least the plans there, which go into Kenwood, Kenwood is a one-way street from Harvard going into the neighborhood.

Hopefully, and I imagine this wouldn't be too terribly difficult to do, that Kenwood would become a two-way street up until the edge of the building so that when people would come out of the garage, they would be able to make a left back onto Harvard Street, as opposed to being forced to drive all the way into the neighborhood and circle around and come back up either Columbia or I guess it's Russell Street, if I'm not mistaken, as far as that goes. So that's probably an easy traffic fix, but it's something that needs to be paid attention to.

As far as I guess the aesthetics of the building, when I look at 420, which is where Tatte is, I walk up Harvard Street, and I say you know what, this is nice, this is fine. I'm completely comfortable with the way things

1-800-727-6396

function there. And even as the large, large building that is going on at KI which is set back -- that may be six stories. I forget exactly how many stories. It may be as high as what is being proposed at 500. Because of the setbacks, and because of the overhangs that are there, architecturally, it creates no problems for me whatsoever.

The fact that this 500 is just put down -- and I know I'm just repeating what you folks have already said, but I just want to underscore and emphasize that it's highly, highly problematic, and it's incredibly disrespectful to the neighbors on Kenwood, and it's incredibly disrespectful to the neighbor to the north on Harvard.

And when you look at 455, you can see how disrespectful that building is to the neighbor to the -- I'm not even quite sure -- just a little bit further away from Harvard Street on Thorndike. The building, effectively, moves right up to the edge. There's a small, little alleyway. Any possible light that was there before, it's just gone.

So, you know, again, you know, I want housing. I want affordable units. There are ways of doing it, and I think what was done at 420 was done very, very well, and if I can encourage you folks to help drive this type of building more in that sort of a direction with respect for the neighbors, I think everybody is going to be a whole lot better off. So thank you.

MR. GELLER: Thank you.

MR. PANAK: The next member of the public we have is William Spears. William, I've promoted you to a panelist. You should be able to turn on your microphone and your video, if you'd like. Please give us your name and your address, and then you can deliver your comment.

MR. SPEARS: Okay, One moment. My name is William Spears. I live at 27 Kenwood Street, right down the street, or five houses down from the corner. I'm also an architect. I've worked at the Town of Brookline.

And I really appreciate the quality of the conversation and the quality of the report that Mr. Boehmer, Clifford, produced. I think

it's really very, very good. There were a lot of very astute comments, and I appreciate the issue of setback along Harvard Street and the relationship of the masonry building next door.

I'm very encouraged by all of that.

The one thing I wanted to say is that it's inevitable that the property across the street is going to get developed, and there are other properties along Harvard Street that are going to get developed, and this is not really a no to this location. It's not the corner with Comm. Ave. It's not Coolidge Corner. It's not even JFK Crossing.

And to have a building that tall, I think, sets a precedent that makes me very nervous, because the six stories, in contrast to all the single- and two-family houses in the neighborhood behind it on both sides is really a striking contrast.

And I think there'll be other opportunities for 40B housing. I think you can't solve it all on this site, and I think you need to think very carefully about making the building less tall. So that's the only new piece

1	of information I'd like to add to this
2	conversation.
3	MR. GELLER: Thank you.
4	MS. MORELLI: Victor, I believe Mr.
5	Veksler is the next person to promote.
6	MR. PANAK: The next person is Lisa
7	Cody and Michael Messo. I think they're on the
8	same Zoom.
9	MS. MORELLI: They are. I believe
10	that Mr. Veksler is also on the list, too. I
11	think he was a little earlier, but we'll get to
12	him, as well.
13	MR. PANAK: Oh, you're right. Lisa
L 4	and Michael, you are now panelists. You can turn
15	on your microphone and your video, if you'd
16	like. Please give us your name and your address,
17	and then you can deliver your comment.
18	MR. MESSO: Thank you very much. Yes,
19	we may be separate speakers, but we're sharing
20	one computer today. I'm Michael Messo, and we
21	live at 12 Kenwood Street, directly opposite the
22	site that's about to be developed.
23	And in general, first of all, I am

going to just add appreciation for the peer

review report, and in particular, for the notion of the undifferentiated design that seems to be -- you know, we described it maybe a year ago as if somebody had taken a beach ball and blown up a space in order to maximize the volume, looking for setbacks, looking for design characteristics that would really honor the neighbor in a way.

And so certainly, I will simply endorse all of those thoughts. I will endorse Willie Spears -- Will Spears' notion about height, and certainly, the peer review notion about height.

I have two -- well, actually one thing is one of the previous panelists spoke about the notion of a two-way portion of Kenwood Street.

And while I appreciate, perhaps, the creativity of that, I've spent 30 years watching people careen too fast around that street, and honestly, I don't know how you mitigate that, but that would be a terrifying notion that there would be two ways on that very little portion of Kenwood Street.

With respect to the design, two things that were said today actually are very

1 attractive to me. Number one is if the town 2 could see its way to eliminating the parking 3 requirement, which would mitigate so many of the potential concerns we have about traffic, about 4 5 a driveway on Kenwood Street in that way, and then replace that with a residential unit next 6 7 to the abutter, Mr. Walid's property, then I think --8

MS. CODY: Yassir.

MR. MESSO: -- Yassir -- his first

name is Walid -- Yassir's property, that would

be, it seems to me, a really interesting

development. Creating a residential piece

there, which abuts the residential piece, seems

a very attractive notion, and I would be in

support of that. And that's really all I have to

add, and I'll pass the mike.

MS. CODY: Hi. Thank you. I want to thank -- my name is Lisa Cody. I also reside at 12 Kenwood Street, and I want to thank the board members for your really, really thoughtful approach and all the time you've put into this. As an abutter, I deeply appreciate that.

I want to just say I know some of you,

1-800-727-6396

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

or maybe most of you, on the board really like
the aspects and qualities and the materials used
on 455, and the size doesn't seem off to you, but
I just want to remind you when we consider the
site size and location of 500, 455 sits adjacent
to a parking lot on one side, a gas station on
the other, and a gas station across the street.
You can't -- if those materials were used at the
site of 500, I would be appalled. If that design
were similar, I would be appalled. The brick
doesn't match those beautiful brick buildings
along Harvard Street that head towards
Commonwealth Ave. that abut the 500 Harvard
site.

I just wanted to make that comment. I just think it's really important that the builders understand the notion that they can't have it all and that maybe -- and I don't know what the town requires for parking, but maybe the best solution is to consider no parking, since you're only offering a very few number of spaces anyway, and that -- if they do away with the garage, you eliminate a lot of noise, you eliminate a lot of traffic, and the builders get

1-800-727-6396

apartments on the first floor, which you could bring the size of the building down considerably.

Because I just can't construe looking at that building at six stories high when everything next to it and all the way down Harvard Street towards Comm. Ave., those beautiful apartment buildings that have setbacks, and they're three stories.

So I do thank you so much for your consideration and time in this, and I hope that -- I hope you can come up with something that's suitable to our neighborhood. Thank you.

MR. GELLER: Thank you.

MR. PANAK: The next speaker is

Vitaly. Vitaly, I've promoted you to a

panelist. You can turn on your microphone and
your video, if you'd like. Please give us your
name and your address and deliver your comment.

MR. VEKSLER: Thank you so much for your time. My name is Vitaly Veksler. I live at 23 Kenwood Street, four houses from the development. And I really enjoyed and appreciated your discussion today and the

1-800-727-6396

architectural and understanding of how people build cities.

I started my career working for
Raytheon infrastructure, with building
infrastructure, in different locations
worldwide. So it was very pleasing to hear this
very professional conversation.

So let me talk specifically about this building briefly. So the building is known -- it's very tall. It's very big. It's a block. It's known as the dark tower in reference to J.R.R. Tolkien and his trilogy about hobbits and the battle between good and the invading evil.

from the top of this -- of the proposed building, you can see everything in our yards, right. We are like little hobbits in front of this, you know, giant only present eye of Sauron, you know. If you may remember from the movie that it's very -- the invasion and privacy of our lives, it's really there, right, and we have children. Maybe we don't want -- we hope not to have some random strangers looking into our yards every summer day. Before now, at this

point, we still have it, and we enjoy it tremendously.

We talked about the parking situation and the dangers that it creates from the traffic standpoint last time, and I think it will be -- we will all condense it in another letter. But also, what I wanted to say, and I don't know, especially with these tall buildings like this -- my friend, very good friend, with whom I went to business school lived in Dexter Park Complex. He was very, very happy when he moved to Brookline because of the school system. In a year, he was using the worst words about this development, because it's also like the proposed development.

It's only -- it was predominantly for students, and what was happening there, there were a lot of noise, a lot of swearing when people would come back drunk at 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning. And there they had a superintendent who took care -- at least my friend could go to the superintendent and tell him to deal with these, you know, drunks.

With this giant building, I don't know

1 who do we complain. Do we call the police at 2 2:00 in the morning when they show up and the 3 music starts blaring from the sixth floor, you 4 know, over the whole neighborhood. Maybe it's a question to the developers. Maybe they need to 5 -- if they want such a giant building, such an 6 7 eyesore, I'm sorry, but maybe they need to think 8 about additional expense, or as everybody else suggested, maybe this building should be much 10 smaller, and it should fit at the -- should fit 11 the other buildings on this block, you know, or several blocks, three-story high buildings. 12 13 14 15 16

And I think that would be a solution for this situation, in our opinion. Thank you so much for your time. I really appreciate your attention. Thank you.

> MR. GELLER: Thank you.

MR. PANAK: And the last public speaker is Lee Biernbaum. Lee, I've promoted you to a panelist. Please give us your name and your address and then deliver your comment.

MR. BIERNBAUM: Hello. I am Lee Biernbaum, at 7 Verndale Street. So as part of all this, we've heard a lot about context.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Context, of course, is a function of our history as a town and the decisions that we've made. The context of this area is largely 1880s to 1920s, because we decided to abandon the idea of building housing for much of the century after that.

The peer reviewers specifically call out the building on the corner of Harvard and Verndale, the building where I live. You're right. Three or more story masonry buildings are wonderful, but we don't allow anyone to build those anymore.

In fact, it's funny that this got called out as a type of thing that we want to emulate, even though they're banned, because last week, we heard that contextual buildings like this over 100 years old aren't a valid comparable in discussing parking. So if we want buildings like that, we probably should allow them.

But to that end, substituting more housing for the parking, which has come up in here, I think sounds like a great idea. We need much more housing, a lot of concerns about

safety and cars. I think it sounds like a wonderful solution.

But then to some of the other things that have come up since then, the precedent that we might have taller buildings in the middle of the city, that's not scary. That's exciting.

And I want to specifically highlight this very explicit tradeoff we make. We talk about concerns about size. Any call to substantively reduce the size of the building is a call for less housing. It's a call for less affordable housing. It's a call to do less to solve our housing problems as a community.

And in regards to that, as one of the neighbors that was cited by the peer reviewer talking about the fear of loss if we reduce the size of the building, I want to clarify that the loss we referenced had nothing to do with the developer's profit.

I don't care at all about the developer's profit. If you make money, great, then we can have more buildings. If they don't make money, someone else can build buildings. What I care about is the lost households that

1-800-727-6396

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

come from reducing the size of the development, for the families that will never be my children's friends, about the people that will never shop in our local stores, serve on the various boards that we have as a town or serve in town meeting. They're also people who will never have the chance to appear before this Zoning Board and speak on behalf of their homes, because they will never be built. Thank you all very much for the opportunity to speak this evening.

Thank you. Victor, do MR. GELLER: you have one more?

MR. PANAK: No, that's it.

MS. MORELLI: No, there's the --

MR. PANAK: Oh, there is one more, yeah, one new one. Joanna, you are now a panelist. You can turn on your microphone and your video, if you'd like, and give us your name and address, and you can deliver your comment.

MS. MORELLI: Joanna, if you look at the ribbon at the bottom, there is an unmute button, and then there's also a start video button. Joanna, can you hear us? If you want to

1	send a note in the chat, if you want to unmute
2	that, there's a ribbon at the bottom. If you
3	just hover your cursor at the bottom of the Zoom
4	screen, you'll see an unmute button, and right
5	next to that a start video button.
6	It looks like we're having some issues
7	here, so maybe we can turn to Joanna later.
8	During the hearing, I'll just watch the chat and
9	see if we can get a hold of Joanna and get
10	comments from her.
11	MR. GELLER: Is that it?
12	MS. MORELLI: Yes.
13	MR. GELLER: Anything further in terms
14	of comments, questions, thoughts? Jess? Kate,
15	questions?
16	MS. POVERMAN: No, I don't have
17	questions right now, but I think when we come up
18	with a charge, I'll be reading through Cliff's
19	list and saying yes, yes, yes, just so you're
20	ready for that, but no questions right now.
21	MR. GELLER: Great. Randolph, any
22	questions?
23	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Just a really minor

thing, if I could ask a question of Jennifer.

1 Just back to the parking detail, Jennifer, you 2 referred to this as a pit parking scheme. understanding it right that pit parking is just where, you know, something would drop. 4 Ιf there's, say, two cars stacked, it would just 5 6 drop the one into a pit below the grade level, 7 for example? MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: It wouldn't --8 MR. MEIKLEJOHN: There wouldn't be

elevated meters or something?

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: No, no, it

wouldn't be automated, that's right. No automation.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Thanks.

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: Sure.

MR. GELLER: Johanna, anything?

MS. SCHNEIDER: Nothing.

MR. GELLER: Great. So board members, let's start our discussion with the goal of giving the developer a charge, and what I would ask of you is not only give your comments, but don't give your comments just purely in a vacuum. Help the developer, and help your fellow board members out by sort of prioritizing

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the ask. What are your priorities, what are other issues, but make clear what the hierarchy is. Why don't we start with -- Randolph, why don't you kick off, Mr. Architect.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Sure. Again,
Randolph Meiklejohn. I'm just going to go -actually, Jesse, can I ask a process question
first, just about upcoming meetings? My
understanding is that there will be some -- what
are referred to as working group meetings. Are
those -- could we hear more about those? I
actually don't know what goes on in them, and I
think the -- my understanding, roughly, was that
the ideas that we might offer here would be
things that the architect would then try and
they would study and discuss with Cliff, and I'm
not sure who else is in those discussions,
before they come back to us.

MR. GELLER: I can answer your question based on other 40Bs, in my experience from other 40Bs on which I've served. So based on the charge, Maria will schedule several meetings between staff and the developer, and I don't know whether a peer reviewer actually

attends those meetings, or information is otherwise communicated. And the overarching direction is given by the board members at the hearing, and then between hearings, that group -- Maria, the developer, and maybe Cliff -- work through various permutations, basically taking the things that you let the developer know are of critical issue, things that are impactful, either contact safety.

All of these issues they take back, and they essentially think through what can be achieved and what the developer thinks can't be achieved, given all of the sort of limitations of what they're trying to do here. And then they come back, and there may be a number of those meetings, which I think is what Maria's point is.

She needs enough time that there can be a number of these sort of meetings, conversations and draft, redraft, so that at whatever the next appropriate hearing is, the developer comes back and says, well, we spoke about all of these things, and we heard what the board said, and this is how we've decided to

1	change the project, and here's what we've done.
2	And they present that to the board, and the board
3	looks at it, and we roughly go through a similar
4	sort of process that will be discussed at this
5	initial presentation.
6	The goal is to sort of narrow down the

The goal is to sort of narrow down the issues, to reach a point at which it's a project that is -- that meets the charge and is acceptable to the board, albeit subject to conditions. Did that answer your question?

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Yes. No, that's terrific. Thank you. I really appreciate it. I'm sure you understand the reason that I'm asking is that, you know, at various times, I've done this for a living, but I'm more used to the process of being in that room and working on it. So I just -- it helps me to understand, since I'm not going to be there, how to give useful comment from the position I am in.

MR. GELLER: In many ways, frankly, the ZBA members are not in the room.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Sure.

MR. GELLER: I actually think that's a good thing. I think it allows for a better

1 conversation.

MR. MEIKLEJOHN: So I have two priority ideas or requests. Number one is the footprint of the building. I just -- I think the face of the building should start where the face of the restaurant starts now, which is in alignment with the flat part of the wall of the old condo buildings, you know, not at the back of the sidewalk.

And, you know, as I said before, having some open space, and it's usually landscaping space, between the back of the sidewalk and the face of the building is absolutely a feature of this whole run of Harvard Street, and I think that's fundamental. I'd like to see what, you know, happens to the design when that is tried in some studies.

The second thing is more open-ended, but I still think it's important, and it's my note about modulation of the facades. And by modulation, I mean that there are either projections or there are stepbacks or there's banding or there's in and out vertically, and, you know, you certainly -- the bigger the

building is, the more fun you can have with this.

Like the big building at KI has a lot going on,

of course, canopies and major stepbacks and

setbacks on the top of the building.

I think it's going to be challenging. You know, I mean, inches and feet really count when you have a small footprint. But I think I remember at the site visit that we had, or possibly an initial presentation, a member of the applicant team describing this as a, you know, kind of loft building, and you can sort of see that in the renderings, you know, kind of a framework facade with large windows in it.

And I thought about it when I was looking at the other buildings along Harvard Street, and I have two thoughts. First is that I don't think loft buildings -- I think loft buildings are - loft buildings are a type of reuse. They happen in, you know, industrial neighborhoods, you know, pre-use of 19th Century buildings. The idea of building a new one is just odd, and there's no reason to do it on Harvard Street.

And it's also a very small building to

be, you know, persuasive as any kind of a loft. So what I'm hoping is that the architect can combine this -- the idea of facade modulation -- and I'm purposely keeping that general -- with an adjusted building mass that comes from pushing the face of the building on Harvard Street away from the street, and, you know, find some new and interesting options that will move beyond the, you know, block of cheese extruded from the ground that we've seen in the first round.

I don't mean that in a negative way.

mean, it really is a very -- it's not unusual in

design that you start with a block of cheese,

like Cliff was saying, maximizing the

development envelope. But I think the next

step, and considering that we have to resolve in

January, it really would be good to move right

into seeing some architecturable work in the

elevations that belongs on Harvard Street. So

those are my two things.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Kate?

MS. POVERMAN: I totally agree with

Randolph and Cliff that setbacks are key, and I,

too, would align the setback with the Avalon building, I think it's called, but the brick buildings to the north of this site, and in addition would try to look to them for inspiration on how to give some character to the present project.

And not being an architect, I can't really give suggestions about which aspects would be best incorporated or, you know, what sort of materials could be used to differentiate things, but using different exterior materials with a lot more variation I think is important in order to get rid of the monolithic aspect.

Along with the setback request/demand, it will allow you to have some landscaping and, at the very least, landscaping might compensate to some degree for the lack of amenities that the project offers to any of its tenants. In this particular case, you know, you're not going to have a pet grooming shop, like has been proposed at other 40Bs, and you need to maximize the space for residents in residential uses.

I am very conflicted about the parking, because I understand the argument that

yeah, we should all be carless. That's where we're all going, it's better for the environment. But I think -- and I apologize for using this word -- I think it takes a certain amount of privilege to say that okay, we're going to tell these residents that they need to just use public transportation. We think it's the best thing for them to do. We don't care where you have a job. We don't care if it's really feasible for you to use Uber, because we're not going to provide you with any parking.

parking. I also think the ratio needs to be better, and the way the ratio is better is by reducing the number of units in the building, and the way that is best done and really addresses the architectural features that we're dealing with now is to make the building lower, I'd say to four or four and a half feet, as I think Maria suggested at one point. I think then it will definitely not be a sore thumb sticking out among all of the other consistent streetscape, but it also gives the opportunity of providing a much more interesting

1 architectural building.

Anyway, I might have further comments, because I guess I agree with all of Cliff's, but height and setback and the issue of parking are my most -- my top three.

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Johanna?

MS. SCHNEIDER: I think that what I'm going to say is largely repetitive of what

Randolph said and some of what Kate said.

My problem with the building in its current form is a sort of combination of height and bulk and a lack of setbacks and lack of articulation and lack of sort of any relief from the monolithic block. I think that there are potentially -- and I can't say for sure, but I think there are potentially ways that this building could maybe maintain its height, but it has to do it in a way that is more sensitive to the context.

As one of the members of the public observed, you know, the KI project, 420 Harvard, those are tallish buildings, right, but the way that they are designed and the way that they relate to the street and the way that they step

back at the upper floors does provide a sense of relief and makes it feel like it is more appropriate to the context. It may be that there's no way to do it, and I know that this is a challenging site because really it is too small for the building that's currently proposed.

And so I do have some real questions about whether or not this can be designed -there is a design solution to this, or if it really is just that it's too big, but I would like to see the development team explore that.

I do not necessarily feel the same way that Kate does about the parking. Right now, it's six parking spaces, and it does feel like that is taking up a lot of space within the building for only six parking spaces. I guess my feeling about parking generally, particularly when we're starting with such a low number anyway, is that it's a marketing issue for the developer.

You know, they are limiting the pool of people who can rent in this development or who want to rent in this development. There are

1-800-727-6396

1 other places that will provide parking that have parking. I'm not sure that, you know, that --I'm not sure that I couldn't get behind a zero 4 parking development in this site, given that it is walkable and well-served by public transportation, but the tradeoff would have to be that that space that's currently dedicated to parking is utilized for some benefit to the project.

> Maybe residential units do get added there and then get -- it results in a reduction of height or a reduction of the massing of the building. And so I would like there to be some study of that by the applicant and their team.

The other thing that I want to emphasize, and, you know, I know that there are members of this board sitting on the panel and others who absolutely love the 455 Harvard building. I think it is hideously ugly, and I would hate to see that being replicated in any way, shape, or form on this site. I don't think it's -- I think it's a really unattractive building. I think it is extremely disrespectful to its surroundings, and I would hate for

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

people's positive views of how that process went and that result to lead us to a clone on this site, because I don't think that's something worth repeating.

I think that Cliff raised some good points about, you know, a better retail presence on Harvard. I would like to see that. Maybe that means that the residential entrance to this is on Kenwood. So I think that's worth exploring, as well.

Cliff raised a lot of other sort of finer points in his letter, some having to do with design. Others are the kinds of things that Cliff always helpfully suggests that we have applicants look at. This is very close to the property lines in other buildings. So I do want to make sure that there is some geotechnical investigation so that we know that this can be done and this -- you know, where it sits on the site without having terrible impact on the neighbors.

Cliff also mentioned, and I think that he was talking about it in reference to the parking, but, you know, having some sort of

advisory opinion from the AAB. If he thinks that that's an issue, then I think that's something that we need to follow up on, as well. And I think that's all I have.

MR. GELLER: Great. Thank you. My comments are going to be roughly similar. I think I'm going to articulate them slightly differently. As I sort of asked Cliff, my focus is really on how does this fit within the context, and then how does it break up, given essentially -- I think these were Cliff's words in the context of 420, sort of the two fronts.

So you have Kenwood Street where this project backs up onto a residential neighborhood, and rather than in some manner tip its cap to a residential neighborhood, it basically simply is a tower. Whether you want to call that tower cheese or whatever else, it's a tower. It doesn't correspond in any way, shape, or form with the residential neighborhood to the rear.

At 420, we had a similar issue, and in that context, there was set -- we discussed stepbacks. There was a significant one to the

rear where there was also a residential home
that was probably the same -- similar distance,
and I would want to see a similar accommodation
to that residential neighborhood in the form of
stepbacks.

Now, what appears and how the mass is broken down on Kenwood Street is distinct from how I would want the building set back on Harvard Street. I think Harvard Street has a different language, and I think in many ways, it allows for more.

I do agree that the horizontal line that needs to be followed, at least for the first portion of the building, should track Avalon Terrace. I do think that in terms of setting it back off of Harvard Street, continuing the line that Avalon Terrace established is a good move, and it does allow for some form of landscaping that breathes some light.

I think in addition, the components of the building wall on either side needs to be broken up, and it needs to be broken up into -- it needs to significantly be broken up in very real ways that it doesn't feel so monolithic.

Now, we've seen that that's done with windows, we've seen that it's done with panels, materials. It needs to be done. My one pet peeve on many of these projects is that there's a discussion of stepbacks, and really what winds up happening is there's lip service paid to it.

It's six inches.

I think there needs to be a meaningful stepback if this is going to really appear to fit in. A number of board members have particularly mentioned this is a small lot. I think Cliff mentioned it, as well. That means that this structure needs to fit within a small lot just the way it is. It needs to fit that context.

I think, as I've said in the past, I don't necessarily focus on height and say this building needs to be three stories or whatever it is. For me, it's about real breaks in the mass of the structure. That's sort of -- that's what Cliff was talking about.

Having said that, I just don't see
this lot supporting a six-story structure.
You'd have to perform some pretty amazing magic
for me to think that that fits. So as much as

I'd like to see you apply that lipstick here, I

just don't see how you're going to do it. It is

a small site. And frankly, if we're going to

have a building that tall, I think it's got to be

a fairly special, significant structure and have

the breathing room to allow for it.

So for instance, again, to go back to 420, there was sufficient room, and it wasn't by accident. It was because the developer was initially told you don't have enough space, and in that case, the developer bought the neighboring parcel, and therefore was able to create the types of setbacks that allowed for that size building with that height. I just, again, don't see it on this lot.

Touching in terms -- touching on parking, I'm generally a tradition -- surprise.

I'm a traditionalist when it comes to parking, and I don't know the answer of if you don't build it, they will not bring cars. I ultimately don't know whether that rings true. And that troubles me because the possible result is that this developer gets a large building, which is going to happen one way or another, and does not

provide adequate parking, and if that parking comes, if people (he was inaudible) cars, it's going to become other people's problem.

On the other hand, as we heard from the last hearing, this developer has offered to ameliorate that effect by agreeing to provide the kinds of things that were in the transportation board's letter. And I want to keep that in mind, because there is a balancing that goes on here, and to me, that's important. To me, that allows me to start to think about well, maybe that ratio doesn't have to be so high, because they're offering some things that are impactful, that help the problem.

Ultimately, I don't have the answer to give you the charge on parking this evening. I think the answer is going to lie in what it is you do and what it is you propose with this building, and that's going to help me to figure out whether what you've proposed in the form of agreeing to the list provided by the transportation board makes me feel better or doesn't solve my problem. So unfortunately, I don't have a charge for you on that one.

Other things. This question about mass and height is an all in concept. So what I don't want to have happen, because I want to be clear here, is I don't want you to come back and say well, here's what we can do to reduce the mass, here's what we can do to reduce the height, here's what we can't do to do it all, and then we have a conversation, and you talk to me about the 11-foot housing for the elevator. It's all in.

So that information, which Cliff noted was absent, needs to be factored into the response. And sometimes it's relevant, sometimes it's not relevant. There clearly is going to be an elevator here. So something is going on that roof, and it's something that I think, given the size, is going to be visible and is going to add to the impact.

I think that's my list in terms of critical issues for me. What I want to do -
Jennifer, do you want to ask questions for -- so that -- do you understand the charge clearly, or do you want to ask questions?

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: I totally understand the charge, but I want to make sure

1	that the architect and my clients do. So I would
2	just ask them now is your opportunity, John and
3	David and Danny Danesh, if you have questions
4	for the board or for Cliff, please go ahead and
5	ask them. But I understand the charge.
6	MR. GELLER: Great.
7	MS. POVERMAN: I just want to say

something that's important, which we did not address with the sustainability aspects of the building in terms of making it more environmentally friendly, which Cliff noted, and I think it's very important that those aspects be flushed out or just plain put in.

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: Thank you, Kate.

I'll make a note of that. So John?

MR. HARDING: I'm all set. I feel like I understand the charge.

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: And Danny or David, any questions?

MR. DANESH: No questions. I thought it was very helpful, your feedback, and thank you, everyone, for your time tonight. Cliff, as John mentioned, always a pleasure to work with you, and thank you very much.

1-800-727-6396

1
_

MS. DOPAZO-GILBERT: Thank you.

2

MR. GELLER: Thank you. Great.

3

5

6

MS. MORELLI: Mr. Chair, there's one public comment. Joanna Gallimore had trouble getting on, but we did invite her to submit her comment in a chat, which we will read to you, if you don't mind.

7

MR. GELLER: Go ahead.

8

MS. MORELLI: So this is from Joanna

10

Gallimore, and I don't know what street she's

11

on, but we'll get that to you. So, "I am

12

concerned about the pedestrian experience.

13

Having walked this street late at night often, having a setback on both Harvard and Kenwood is

14 15

very important to feel safe as a walker, that is

16

to feel you are connected to a neighborhood and

17

not a downtown and to see what's ahead.

18 19

critical of the current design, as well as the

I agree with the speakers who were

20

height, which would create a tunnel effect on

21

Harvard. If the opposite side were to be

22

developed, negatively impacting the pedestrian

23

experience, if height were removed, that would

24

be a way to mitigate many of the negative

1	impacts."
2	MR. PANAK: And I'll just add that she
3	resides at 11 Russell Street.
4	MS. MORELLI: Thank you, Victor.
5	MR. GELLER: Thank you. So we do not
6	have a set date for the next hearing, though we
7	anticipate it will be the first week of
8	November; is that correct, Maria?
9	MS. MORELLI: I was going to propose
10	November 4. I don't think that conflicts with
11	anything. I just wanted to know your
12	availability. I could make it earlier, and then
13	just continue it, but I really think that four
14	weeks from now might be a problem.
15	MR. GELLER: I can do November 4.
16	Other board members?
17	MS. SCHNEIDER: Yes, that's fine.
18	MR. GELLER: Randolph?
19	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: My calendar is still
20	opening. I'll have it for you in a minute,
21	Maria.
22	MS. POVERMAN: I can do it.
23	MS. BARRETT: Mr. Chairman, would you
24	like me to be available that night? Judi Barrett

1	speaking.
2	MR. GELLER: Hello, Judi Barrett.
3	MS. BARRETT: Hi there.
4	MR. GELLER: Do I have to let you know
5	today?
6	MS. BARRETT: No.
7	MR. GELLER: We like having you at our
8	hearings, Judi.
9	MS. BARRETT: Well, I've actually
10	found this very interesting. So I just want to
11	just let me know as soon as you can.
12	MR. GELLER: Absolutely.
13	MS. BARRETT: Thank you.
14	MR. GELLER: Thank you.
15	MR. MEIKLEJOHN: Maria, I am available
16	on November 4.
17	MR. GELLER: So November 4.
18	MS. MORELLI: Thank you.
19	MR. GELLER: So we will announce it as
20	November 4; is that correct, Maria?
21	MS. MORELLI: Correct.
22	MR. GELLER: Right, okay.
23	MS. POVERMAN: I have a question.
24	Sorry to interrupt again. I really hate never

mind. I'm not going to go there. On Page 8 of Cliff's report, he lists some things that would facilitate a more detailed review of the project and asked that they be submitted as a design develops, such as facade materials, detailed floor plans, an opinion from MAAB.

So I think a geotechnical report, correct elevation, I think it's important that these things be presented to Cliff so that next time, he can give us the fullest report possible.

MS. MORELLI: Yes, absolutely. I've noted that. We will include that. We do usually have some -- in our 40B discussions, where we have situations like this, we do get some preliminary narrative from the applicant about foundation method.

MS. POVERMAN: Oh, and I ask that redesign is occurring. In terms of the preliminary trash plan, and I know we're not going over that totally now, but I'm concerned about the provision of one container for each recycling and garbage, because, for example, at 455, with 17 apartments, they provided one, as

2

3

5

4

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

well, and I'm just concerned it may not be big enough, and, as a result, you may need to have a larger trash space that you aren't redesigning.

MS. MORELLI: So about trash, if I could just hop in, so we will have the health department look at this. Now, the one thing that hasn't really been discussed, and so we would have to assume that if the retail space -- and I know it's 1,700 square feet, but if we don't zero -- if the applicant doesn't zero in, then clearly, the health department has to think about okay, is there going to be a restaurant there, is there going to be a commercial kitchen.

There are certain uses that will -that are more intense. And clearly, the whole point of this exercise is to understand intensity of use to discern that that 270 foot -square foot trash room, you know, with a compactor, with the number of toters, all of it can be maintained on the site.

So, you know, we do have to discuss with the health department that there might be a range of retail uses. So they might need to be

					Page	e 106
conservative	about	what	they	recommend	in	terms
of a trash pl	Lan.					

MR. GELLER: Anything else, Kate?

MS. POVERMAN: No. Thanks.

MR. GELLER: Great. So we are (he was inaudible) until November 4, 7:00 p.m., and I want to thank everybody for their participation and patience this evening. Have a good evening.

Pag	2	1	n	7
r au	_	_	v	•

1	CERTIFICATE
2	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	NORFOLK, ss.
4	
5	I, ARLENE R. BOYER, a Certified Court
6	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That the proceedings herein was recorded by
10	me and transcribed by me; and that such
11	transcript is a true record of the proceedings,
12	to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand
14	and notarial seal this 14th day of October 2020.
15	
16	
17	abou « Bazo
18	Arlene R. Boyer, CVR
19	Notary Public
20	My Commission Expires
21	November 21, 2025
22	
23	
21	

0	4	a	additional 76:8	
0445 2:7	4 22:7 102:10,15	aab 23:19 94:1	additionally 6:21	
1	103:16,17,20	abandon 77:4	address 6:14 17:6	
	106:6	ability 33:16	47:4 61:23 67:16	
1,700 105:9	40b 7:9 43:19	107:12	69:16 73:19 76:21	
1-107 1:1	46:20 48:5,19,21	able 5:7 15:14	79:20 100:9	
100 77:17	52:11 55:20 68:21	42:2 61:21 65:12	addresses 89:17	
11 56:22 99:9 102:3	104:14	67:13 97:12	adds 36:4	
102:3 12 4:6 69:21 71:20	40bs 13:10 17:9	absent 99:11	adequate 4:19,20	
12 4.6 69:21 71:20 14 58:23	30:7 55:13 62:18	absolutely 7:22	98:1	
14 38.23 14th 107:14	82:20,21 88:21	19:23 85:14 92:18	adjacent 24:16	
15th 10:13	420 48:6 62:19,24	103:12 104:12	34:13 48:3 53:20	
16 9:1 10:24	65:21 67:4 90:21	abut 72:13	72:5	
16 9:1 10:24 17 104:24	94:12,22 97:8	abuts 71:14	adjusted 87:5	
17 104.24 1880s 77:3	455 17:7 22:8,10	abutter 71:7,23	administrative	
19 4:9,11	35:21 40:14 41:4	acceptable 84:9	8:14 13:6	
19 4.9,11 1920s 77:3	48:6,9 50:24	access 4:19,20 5:3	advantage 20:15	
19th 86:20	53:16 56:21 57:9	accessible 4:14	33:17	
	59:13 63:21 64:8	accident 97:9	advantages 15:3	
2	64:10,15,16 65:1	accommodate	38:3	
2018 59:3	66:17 72:3,5	18:20	advised 4:11 5:4	
2020 1:16 4:6 9:1	92:18 104:24	accommodation	advisory 23:19 94:1	
107:14	5	95:3		
2021 9:4	500 1:7 3:5 64:9	account 24:11	aesthetic 63:16,23 aesthetics 65:20	
2025 107:21	64:13 65:5 66:5,9	accurate 5:19 6:3	affect 44:5	
21 107:21	72:5,9,13	accused 29:17	affirmative 3:16	
21414 107:17		achieve 49:14		
23 7:12 73:22	6	achieved 83:12,13	affordable 26:8	
27 67:18	617 2:8	acknowledge	62:8 63:7,12 67:2 78:11	
270 105:18	7	20:21	agenda 4:17 5:15	
2:00 75:19 76:2	7 76:23	acknowledgment	5:16,21 8:2 13:5	
3	72 27:1	20:3	aggressive 12:24	
3 58:9	730-2670 2:8	activate 45:16	ago 47:13 58:23,24	
30 1:16 9:18 54:13	7:00 1:17 106:6	activated 45:19	70:3	
63:9 70:17	8	active 21:6	agree 7:18,19 30:7	
333 2:6	8 104:1	activity 24:22	36:9 47:12 52:16	
36 62:3		add 69:1,24 71:17	63:17 87:23 90:3	
3:00 75:19		99:17 102:2	95:12 101:18	
	9 62:4	added 92:10	agreeing 98:6,21	
	9:20 1:17	addition 88:4		
		95:20		

[ahead - barely] Page 2

ahead 29:21 40:1	apologize 10:11	architectural 7:8	audio 43:1
43:5 58:8 100:4	89:3	42:4,5 74:1 89:17	august 12:3,6
101:8,17	appalled 72:9,10	90:1	authorized 15:13
aicp 2:3	appeals 1:6 2:11	architecturally	authorizes 4:18
albeit 84:9	appear 6:18 79:7	66:7	automated 81:12
align 88:1	96:9	architecture 58:18	automation 81:13
alignment 85:7	appears 95:6	64:8	availability
alleyway 66:23	applicant 9:8 10:3	area 18:1 20:8	102:12
allow 41:24 77:11	11:2 13:17 14:21	24:23 33:4 43:20	available 5:13 7:5
77:19 88:15 95:18	44:21 86:10 92:14	54:14 77:3	37:2 102:24
97:6	104:16 105:10	areas 60:1	103:15
allowed 97:13	applicants 9:2	argue 46:15	avalon 88:1 95:14
allows 17:24 84:24	11:10,20 93:15	argument 88:24	95:17
95:10 98:11	application 3:4	arlene 107:5,18	ave 68:12 72:13
allston 64:3	8:3	arlington 64:1	73:7
alternatives 50:8	apply 97:1	articulate 94:7	aware 5:6
amazing 96:23	appreciate 42:9	articulated 29:1	awful 62:7 63:10
ameliorate 98:6	43:11 58:19 67:22	articulation 26:21	64:10
amenable 11:21	68:2 70:16 71:23	39:10 40:15 90:13	b
amenities 88:17	76:15 84:12	asked 94:8 104:4	
amenity 30:18,21	appreciated 50:6	asking 9:10 45:2	b 44:22
amount 49:23	73:24	46:17 84:14	back 17:10 21:7
89:5	appreciation	aspect 88:13	22:4 34:14 35:11
analysis 13:10,15	69:24	aspects 72:2 88:8	37:13 41:6 46:14
38:5	approach 18:12	100:9,12	49:16 50:1,1 53:19 54:13,19
anecdote 63:24	19:3,8,10 53:23	assume 49:10	57:16,19,21,24
angling 41:5	60:20 71:22	105:8	58:1 59:16 60:21
announce 103:19	appropriate 30:24	assuming 45:11	65:13,15 66:2
anomalies 21:3	31:12 37:22 38:6	50:4	75:19 81:1 82:18
answer 35:8 56:18	60:5 83:21 91:3	astute 68:2	83:10,15,22 85:8
56:19 82:19 84:10	approximately 7:3	attached 5:13	85:12 91:1 95:8
97:19 98:15,17	architect 2:18,21	attendees 5:5	95:16 97:7 99:4
anticipate 102:7	56:15 67:20 82:4	attends 83:1	backs 94:14
anybody 3:19 56:1	82:15 87:2 88:7	attention 21:22	baker's 4:6
anymore 77:12	100:1	65:19 76:16	balancing 98:9
anyway 37:20	architect's 15:6	attic 41:10	ball 70:4
72:22 90:2 91:20	architects 19:1	attitude 21:4	banded 48:7
apartment 73:8	56:17	attorney 2:20 11:1	banding 85:23
apartments 73:1	architecturable	attractive 34:3	banned 77:15
104:24	87:19	38:1 64:15 71:1	barrely 39:6
		71:15	builty 37.0

barrett 2:19,19	bigger 23:11 33:6	boyer 107:5,18	28:22 30:15,17
7:10 102:23,24	34:15 35:10 45:15	break 19:6 49:8	31:2 33:9 34:8
103:2,3,6,9,13	45:17 55:21 85:24	55:18 94:10	35:6,10,12 36:23
base 22:20 41:10	biggest 23:15 61:5	breaks 48:13	37:13 38:7,15,18
based 57:4 82:20	bit 13:22 16:10	96:18	39:14,22 40:16,21
82:21	24:24 31:5 46:15	breathes 95:19	41:6,8,9,14,15,16
basic 55:22			42:19 44:17 46:22
	57:22 60:2 66:20	breathing 97:6	
basically 14:3 36:5	blaring 76:3	brick 72:10,11	47:2,23,24 48:7,9
36:17 38:14 83:6	block 26:17 29:2	88:2	48:14,24 49:7,10
94:17	54:24 74:10 76:11	bricks 36:6	49:13 51:2,17,20
battle 74:13	87:9,14 90:14	briefly 74:9	51:20 52:11 53:20
beach 70:4	blocks 76:12	bring 73:2 97:20	53:24 54:1,7,9,20
beautiful 32:21	blown 70:4	brings 58:16 63:8	55:4 58:17 60:7
72:11 73:8	board 1:6 2:11,13	broad 16:1	60:10 63:1,4,6,8
beautifully 63:18	2:14,15 3:6 8:1,7	broadcast 5:9	63:22 64:4,22
beeline 53:19	12:2,5 29:4 30:6	broader 14:23	65:4,11,21 66:2,18
began 12:6	57:6 71:20 72:1	broken 95:7,22,22	66:21 67:6 68:4
beginning 21:8	79:8 81:18,24	95:23	68:14,24 73:2,5
behalf 79:8	83:3,24 84:2,2,9	brookline 1:5 2:5	74:4,9,9,15 75:24
believe 7:5 15:9	92:17 96:10 98:22	2:7 7:5 19:4 60:8	76:6,9 77:5,8,9
20:13 26:2 69:4,9	100:4 102:16	67:21 75:12	78:10,17 85:4,5,13
belongs 87:20	board's 98:8	brookline's 60:10	86:1,2,4,11,21,24
benefit 92:8	boards 14:5 79:5	brooklinema.gov	87:5,6 88:2 89:15
best 17:1 28:12	bodies 4:13	2:9	89:18 90:1,10,17
35:18 60:24 61:1	body 4:18 5:12	brought 23:18	91:6,17 92:13,19
72:20 88:9 89:8	41:10	24:12 54:18 55:11	92:23 95:8,14,21
89:16 107:12	boehmer 2:18	build 25:1 74:2	96:17 97:4,14,23
better 25:10 27:13	15:3,15 29:12,24	77:12 78:23 97:19	98:19 100:10
28:5 42:7 45:9,11	31:14 36:20 40:19	builders 72:17,24	buildings 13:11
46:8 47:14 67:8	42:9 43:7 44:15	building 13:8,10	16:15 18:9 20:8
84:24 89:2,14,14	45:6,20 51:9	13:12,15 16:20,21	22:2,15,18,20
93:6 98:22	52:16,23 53:2,11	17:6,21,24 18:6,11	23:12,13 24:10,16
beyond 12:9 20:16	53:15 55:10,18	18:18 19:2,3,12,17	26:5 28:15 32:21
87:9	56:5 67:24	19:18,22 20:1,14	32:21 37:10 40:11
bicycles 42:18	borders 20:22	20:19,20 21:11,14	40:11 48:4,20
54:13	boring 15:20	21:15,17,18,20,24	50:10 55:20 72:11
biernbaum 76:19	bottom 6:17 51:2	22:7,7,10,22 23:7	73:8 75:8 76:11
76:22,23	79:22 80:2,3	23:8 24:7,18 25:1	76:12 77:10,16,19
big 21:21 31:20	bought 97:11	25:7,9,16,19,21,21	78:5,22,23 85:8
32:8 42:13 74:10	bows 48:4	26:15,17,20,21	86:15,17,18,18,21
86:2 91:11 105:1		27:20 28:5,7,10,11	88:3 90:22 93:16

[built - comment] Page 4

1 24 24 7 27 6	1 00 11	1	1.66 00 10 00 2
built 24:7 27:6	carved 20:11	characteristics	cliff's 80:18 90:3
31:24 79:9	carving 26:4	70:6	94:11 104:2
bulk 16:21 90:12	case 13:16 17:2	charge 8:7 9:8	clifford 2:18 67:24
bulky 31:3	19:10 21:22 24:18	27:24 36:11 57:6	clone 93:2
business 5:18 12:6	25:10 26:3 39:21	60:14 80:18 81:20	close 8:24 13:11
45:18 75:10	48:9 54:1 88:19	82:22 84:8 98:16	13:13 17:17,17,19
businesses 62:23	97:11	98:24 99:21,24	35:7 93:15
busy 11:15,16	cases 46:24	100:5,17	closer 35:23 47:1
button 79:23,24	cast 39:6	chat 6:15,16,18	closest 46:5
80:4,5	celebration 23:6	80:1,8 101:6	code 13:10,15
c	century 77:5	cheese 87:9,14	17:22,24 23:21
c 2:1 3:1 107:1,1	86:20	94:18	cody 69:7 71:9,18
cable 7:6	certain 18:1,12	children 74:22	71:19
café 33:20	44:9 89:4 105:15	children's 79:3	cognizant 17:21
calendar 5:2	certainly 11:15	choose 36:11	coherent 23:14
102:19	12:24 14:16 18:23	circle 65:15	collaborating
call 3:15 6:10,14	24:21 31:23 34:22	cited 78:15	58:16
17:15 18:22 76:1	39:15 41:21 44:4	cities 74:2	columbia 49:5
	51:23 63:11,12	city 78:6	65:16
77:7 78:9,10,11,12	64:22 70:8,11	civil 13:24	columns 59:14
94:18 called 5:24 77:14	85:24	clarify 12:21	combination
88:2	certified 107:5	78:17	90:11
	certify 107:8	clay 20:11	combine 87:3
calling 6:22	chabad 49:5	clear 5:18 11:18	come 24:5 28:14
canopies 86:3 cantilever 57:12	chair 12:20 42:24	16:3 25:15 54:14	47:8 59:14 65:11
	101:3	82:2 99:4	65:15 73:12 75:19
cantilevered 50:24	chairman 2:12	clearly 6:2 20:10	77:22 78:4 79:1
cap 94:16	102:23	99:13,21 105:11	80:17 82:18 83:15
captured 5:9	challenge 28:17	105:16	99:4
care 5:7 13:4	challenges 32:2	click 6:17	comes 83:22 87:5
21:22 60:9 75:21	challenging 10:6,7	clients 100:1	97:18 98:2
78:20,24 89:8,9	86:5 91:5	cliff 15:2,8,14 29:4	comfortable 65:24
cared 60:9	chance 10:14 59:1	29:5,19,22 35:15	coming 51:20
careen 70:18	79:7	43:6 45:1 52:9	comm 68:12 73:7
career 74:3	change 84:1	53:1 55:24 56:2	comment 4:24
careful 26:3	changed 34:23	57:18 58:7,14	5:23 6:11 7:14
carefully 68:23	changes 41:11,12	60:2 82:16 83:5	45:10 58:14 61:24
caring 36:17	chapels 49:6	87:15,24 93:5,11	64:12 67:16 69:17
carless 89:1	character 36:3,18	93:14,22 94:8	72:15 73:19 76:21
cars 44:8 45:3	37:23 88:5	96:11,20 99:10	79:20 84:18 101:4
78:1 81:5 97:20		100:4,11,22 104:9	101:6
98:2		1001.,11,22.101.9	

commentary 8:12	concept 99:2	considerably 73:3	convinced 44:21
comments 7:23	conceptual 59:21	consideration	coolidge 68:12
14:17 35:17 36:17	concern 36:13	12:16 73:11	corner 19:23
37:17 47:15,21	40:6	considering 87:17	21:16 34:5 36:4
50:21 58:2,20	concerned 101:12	consistent 4:6	53:19 63:2 67:20
59:5,7 60:19 68:2	104:21 105:1	89:22	68:11,12 77:8
80:10,14 81:21,22	concerning 4:7	constrain 33:13	cornice 24:19
90:2 94:6	concerns 71:4	49:9	37:12
commercial 33:15	77:24 78:9	constraints 20:22	correct 102:8
34:3,10 44:5	conclude 5:21	55:2 60:23	103:20,21 104:8
45:15 46:8 64:13	concluded 8:4	construction	correspond 41:11
105:13	condense 75:6	23:23 49:18 50:3	94:19
commission 62:6,8	condition 19:23	64:19	cost 50:3
107:20	39:19	construe 73:4	count 49:11 86:6
commissioner	conditioned 39:21	consultant 7:9,10	couple 15:24,24
13:9	conditions 21:1,2	contact 83:9	19:5 30:4 35:16
commitments	84:10	container 104:22	43:14 48:1 62:14
12:15	condo 85:8	context 16:9,22	62:23
commonwealth	condominium	19:7,15,19 20:4,7	course 8:9 10:2
4:8 72:13 107:2,7	41:16	21:15 22:11 23:13	11:12 37:16 45:11
communicated	condominiums	26:22 37:24 40:8	53:24 77:1 86:3
83:2	34:24	50:9,10 53:4	court 107:5
community 2:4	conduct 4:14 5:18	58:17 59:24 60:7	cover 5:17
62:6 78:13	12:3	76:24 77:1,3	covid 4:9,11
compactor 105:20	conducted 4:5	90:19 91:3 94:10	create 23:11,13
comparable 48:5	6:10	94:12,23 96:14	32:3,4 46:9 51:5
48:14 77:18	conducting 12:6	contextual 18:22	59:12,24 61:1
compelling 26:3	conference 5:1,6	19:18 53:10 55:8	97:13 101:20
compensate 88:16	confine 7:23	77:16	created 40:8
complain 76:1	confirmed 57:15	continue 60:3	creates 21:1 34:2
complete 39:16	conflicted 88:23	102:13	38:1 48:12,12,13
completely 65:24	conflicts 102:10	continued 3:3	66:7 75:4
complex 41:16	confused 52:1	continuing 95:16	creating 21:6 23:5
75:10	connect 43:22,22	continuous 52:5	26:8 37:17 71:13
complicated 41:14	connected 101:16	contrast 68:16,19	creativity 70:16
component 6:11	conscious 19:6	convening 4:24	credibly 17:17
components 95:20	conservative	conversation 6:7	critical 83:8 99:19
comprehensive	106:1	63:18 67:23 69:2	101:19
3:4 8:3 58:6	consider 28:1	74:7 85:1 99:8	cross 12:13
computer 5:8 6:1	59:10 72:4,20	conversations	crossing 68:13
69:20		83:20	

cube 49:17 58:9	define 20:4	designer 37:2	53:23 74:5 88:11
cue 6:20 61:17	definitely 58:21	designing 46:16	95:9
cues 16:19 22:14	59:10,11,19,22	detail 16:2 27:23	differentiate 88:10
22:23 24:16,17	89:21	47:18 81:1	differentiation
59:22	definition 20:16	detailed 13:17	36:3
current 4:7 20:24	definitively 34:17	104:3,5	differently 94:8
37:19 49:22 90:11	degree 88:17	detailing 60:4	difficult 9:9 60:22
101:19	delayed 12:4	details 23:16	65:9
currently 91:6	deliberation 13:4	develop 60:4	digest 10:1
92:7	deliver 67:16	developable 17:15	digesting 59:5
cursor 80:3	69:17 73:19 76:21	17:21 18:16 20:5	dimensional 24:17
cutting 51:12	79:20	20:17 31:16	39:9 48:15
cvr 107:18	demand 88:14	developed 21:19	dimensionally
d	denigrate 35:19	22:3 25:5 31:17	20:12 39:11,14
d 3:1	dense 9:21 15:19	31:19 33:5,5 68:8	direction 57:5
danesh 60:8 100:3	22:5	68:10 69:22	67:6 83:3
100:20	density 16:2,21	101:22	directions 49:3
daneshes 35:22	22:4 27:17	developer 8:8,10	directly 19:14
dangers 75:4	department 2:17	17:5 21:8,10 24:8	24:18 25:3 44:2
danny 100:3,18	8:23 13:19 14:12	25:9 40:7 81:20	69:21
dark 74:11	14:19 105:6,11,23	81:23 82:23 83:5	disability 42:7
date 7:3 11:23	departments 14:5	83:7,12,22 91:21	discern 105:18
12:12 17:14 102:6	depending 57:5	97:9,11,23 98:5	discreet 53:5
david 100:3,19	deployed 37:15	developer's 45:7	discuss 82:16
day 43:16 74:24	depth 59:18	78:19,21	105:22
107:14	described 70:3	developers 30:11	discussed 9:2 84:4
deadline 8:24	describing 86:10	60:8 76:5	94:23 105:7
deal 55:23 75:23	deserve 53:9	development 2:4	discussing 62:5
dealing 89:18	design 7:8 8:6	16:5,18 17:8	77:18
dealt 44:1	12:12 16:5,15	23:23 63:15 71:13	discussion 16:10
december 9:1	23:22 27:20 30:11	73:23 75:14,15	36:14 43:13 50:8
10:24 11:16 59:3	37:19 41:24 42:1	79:1 87:16 91:12	57:12 73:24 81:19
decide 9:5	46:18 49:22 58:23	91:23,24 92:4	96:5
decided 41:3 77:4	70:2,6,23 72:9	developments	discussions 49:19
83:24	85:17 87:14 91:10	18:13,14 19:1	82:17 104:14
decisions 77:2	93:13 101:19	24:6 43:19 46:20	dismissing 23:3
dedicate 45:22	104:4	52:12 64:7	disregard 44:16
dedicated 92:7	designed 20:21	develops 104:5	44:17
deeper 42:2	26:18 31:3 90:23	dexter 75:10	disrespectful
deeply 71:23	91:9	different 19:7,9,11	66:14,15,18 92:23
F 3		19:12 21:15 53:9	

disruptive 42:15	earlier 15:18 17:8	emulate 77:15	79:11 98:16 106:8
42:17,18	21:23 62:17 64:12	encourage 67:5	106:8
distance 55:2 95:2	69:11 102:12	encouraged 68:5	evening's 7:15
distance 33.2 93.2 distancing 4:12	early 9:5 10:17	ended 85:18	everybody 58:11
distinct 95:7	18:4 57:2	ended 83.18 endorse 70:9,9	58:22 67:7 76:8
	ears 63:9	endorse 70.9,9 ends 33:15	106:7
diversity 62:6 document 23:23			
	earth 64:4 east 54:1	engage 6:6	everybody's 15:5
documents 23:3		engineering 14:12	evil 74:13
doing 39:5 51:13	easy 15:12 25:4	enjoy 75:1	exactly 18:16
59:17 67:3	31:15 51:14 65:18	enjoyed 73:23	40:14 66:3
door 35:12 37:11	echos 40:16	ensure 5:19 24:21	example 18:15
42:13 47:9,16	economics 49:19	enter 6:15	22:1 31:11 38:11
68:4	edge 65:10 66:22	entire 12:1,13	81:7 104:23
doors 38:12	edges 27:10	entirely 4:18	examples 19:4
dopazo 2:20 11:1	effect 98:6 101:20	entrance 46:23	excellent 21:11
11:8,9 56:13	effective 5:18	62:20 65:3,4 93:8	excited 59:6 60:14
58:12 81:8,11,15	32:18 41:17	entrances 47:11	61:7
99:23 100:14,18	effectively 66:21	52:13 62:17	exciting 78:6
101:1	effort 38:12	entry 42:12 52:3,4	executive 4:7
downtown 101:17	efforts 39:2	envelope 17:16,21	exercise 105:17
dpw 13:19 14:1,11	eight 37:13	18:17 20:17 87:16	exist 39:20
14:14	either 19:17 23:5	environment 34:2	existing 16:18
draft 83:20	30:21 44:13 48:7	38:1 89:3	37:24 38:20,21
draw 17:16 18:5	65:16 83:9 85:21	environmentally	40:21
drawings 13:24	95:21	100:11	expect 9:23
38:20	elements 8:6	envision 41:23	expectations 25:8
drawn 64:14	elevated 32:6	escaping 17:7	expense 76:8
drew 53:18	34:12 81:10	especially 12:10	expensive 49:21
drift 42:16	elevation 38:7,11	13:14,19,20 14:19	experience 21:6
drive 65:14 67:5	104:8	33:9 52:17,18	50:17 82:20
driveway 41:20	elevations 26:19	75:8	101:12,23
65:4 71:5	38:21 87:20	essentially 38:8	expert 31:8
drop 47:6 52:19	elevator 99:9,14	83:11 94:11	expertise 27:12
81:4,6	eliminate 72:23,24	established 22:12	expires 107:20
drunk 75:19	eliminating 44:7	95:17	explicit 78:8
drunks 75:23	71:2	establishment	explore 91:12
due 4:8	emergency 4:8	17:15	exploring 93:10
e	emphasize 38:13	estimated 10:18	expound 36:18
	66:12 92:16	etcetera 41:22	expression 19:8,9
e 2:1,1 3:1,1 107:1	empty 64:16,23	evening 3:2,7 11:9	extend 46:10
107:1		56:16 58:5 62:1	

[extension - funny] Page 8

	0 . 50.10	10.15.50.1.11.10	0.011.02.01
extension 9:2,3,11	fast 70:18	49:17 59:4 61:18	form 90:11 92:21
11:11,19,23 12:8	favor 6:23 63:14	62:16 69:23 71:10	94:20 95:4,18
exterior 88:11	64:6	73:1 82:8 86:16	98:20
extremely 26:9	fear 78:16	87:10 95:13 102:7	forth 11:14 12:16
40:8 92:23	feasability 23:24	fit 12:10 16:20	46:14
extrude 18:6	feasible 57:3,7	19:14,22 20:6	forward 49:22
extruded 29:1	89:10	28:17 76:10,10	60:17
87:9	feature 4:23 85:14	94:9 96:9,13,14	found 43:21 47:19
extruding 20:24	features 89:17	fits 53:13 60:7	57:2 103:10
eye 74:18	feedback 14:21	96:24	foundation 104:17
eyesore 76:7	58:4 100:21	five 10:18 17:22	four 10:6,8 73:22
f	feel 9:13 12:23	32:9,12 40:11	89:19,19 102:13
f 107:1	21:9 36:10 60:5	67:19	fraction 44:10
fabric 18:17 19:2	64:7 91:2,13,15	fix 65:18	frame 21:17
20:2,3 60:11	95:24 98:22	flat 85:7	framework 86:13
· ·	100:16 101:15,16	floor 6:5 27:13	frankly 63:20
facade 13:16 19:8	feeling 51:10	33:14 34:12 44:2	84:20 97:3
38:13 41:13 42:19	91:18	44:4 45:24,24	friend 75:9,9,22
45:16 55:9 59:12	feet 17:22 37:13	46:1 60:21 73:1	friendly 42:8
59:19 62:22 64:23	86:6 89:19 105:9	76:3 104:6	100:11
86:13 87:3 104:5	fellow 81:24	floors 91:1	friends 79:3
facades 48:3 85:20	fenestrated 18:2	flushed 100:13	front 31:10 33:19
face 54:1 85:5,5,13	fenestration 55:9	focus 94:8 96:16	53:20 74:17
87:6	figure 20:4 37:22	focused 7:7	frontage 34:10
facilitate 104:3	54:22 60:24 98:19	folks 24:1 66:11	52:5
facing 54:9	figuring 21:14	67:5	fronts 94:12
fact 12:5 20:13	38:6 55:13	follow 5:15 9:19	fueled 40:6
25:23 37:14 53:16	finally 5:16 6:8	50:23 94:3	fulfill 20:12
64:15 66:9 77:13	find 6:16 23:10	followed 95:13	full 39:24
factored 99:11	60:4 87:7	following 20:23	fuller 47:12 48:9
fair 10:2	fine 15:17 65:23	foot 27:1 99:9	62:20 63:3
fairly 97:5	102:17	105:18,19	fullest 104:10
familiar 16:17	finer 93:12	footage 49:12 50:4	fun 86:1
families 79:2	finish 16:9	footprint 44:10	function 53:4 66:1
family 60:8 68:17	fire 14:9	48:21 49:10,13	77:1
fantastic 35:18	first 6:12 10:14	85:4 86:7	functional 33:21
far 17:3 18:10	12:22 21:11 27:13	forced 65:14	fundamental 33:8
19:8 21:14 27:24	29:16 30:5 31:7	foreseeing 32:16	49:2,8 55:20
28:22 30:16 32:18	33:14 34:3,8,12	forget 66:3	85:15
37:7 38:3 42:16	35:17 37:2 43:10	forgive 14:4 62:18	funny 24:22 33:4
54:5 56:18 64:17	44:1,4 45:24	101give 14.4 02.10	77:13
65:17,20	77.1,7 43.24		11.13

[further - harvard] Page 9

further 66:20	gestures 38:9	22:16 23:2 28:20	94:5 100:6 101:2
80:13 90:2	getting 10:14	30:3 33:5 34:22	106:5
future 25:5 60:11	14:17 22:4 23:19	35:4,13 36:13	grooming 88:20
	35:3 49:15 51:6,6	37:19 39:18,23	gross 49:12 50:4
g	101:5	42:1 43:5 47:18	ground 5:17 36:7
g 3:1	giant 74:18 75:24	48:22 49:18,20	46:11 60:21 87:10
gallimore 101:4	76:6	50:2,12,19 51:3,19	group 2:19 10:4
101:10	gigantic 25:3	54:15 55:21 57:3	13:1 82:10 83:4
game 16:23	gilbert 2:20 11:1,8	59:10 60:13 61:1	guess 15:7,23
garage 38:12	11:9 56:13 58:12	61:12 64:19 65:7	18:21 28:6 30:12
42:13 47:15,16	81:8,11,15 99:23	66:2 67:8 68:8,10	30:21 34:16 36:13
54:15 62:21 65:3	100:14,18 101:1	69:24 82:6 84:18	42:4 57:22 61:5
65:12 72:23	give 8:7,10 9:7,18	86:2,5 88:19 89:2	62:14 65:16,20
garbage 104:23	9:24 10:1 36:11	89:6,11 90:8 94:6	90:3 91:17
gas 54:11 72:6,7 geller 2:12 3:2,3	36:22 56:15 61:19	94:7 96:9 97:2,3	guys 61:9
3:11,13,15,19,22	61:22 67:15 69:16	97:23 98:3,17,19	h
4:1 8:17,19,21	73:18 76:20 79:19	99:14,15,16,17	half 40:2 89:19
9:13 10:9 11:4	81:21,22 84:18	102:9 104:1,21	hand 9:16 98:4
12:19 13:2 14:4	88:5,8 98:16	105:12,13	107:13
14:22 15:2 29:4	104:10	good 3:2,24 11:8	happen 40:3 46:1
29:11,16,21 42:22	given 32:7 33:9	14:22,22 18:15	86:19 97:24 99:3
43:2 52:24 53:3	44:22 83:3,13	26:10 29:24 30:2	happened 53:17
53:13 55:7,16,24	92:4 94:10 99:16	33:2 37:7 52:18	63:18
56:7 61:11,16	gives 48:11 57:22	62:1 68:1 74:13	happening 10:23
67:10 69:3 73:14	89:23	75:9 84:24 87:18	54:8 63:15 75:17
76:17 79:12 80:11	giving 62:13 81:20	93:5 95:17 106:8	96:6
80:13,21 81:16,18	go 12:7,9,14 15:20	gotten 14:8	happens 85:16
82:19 84:20,23	27:23 29:10,21	governor 4:6	happy 12:7 35:5
87:22 90:6 94:5	31:23 36:11 43:5	governor's 4:16	56:5 75:11
100:6 101:2,8	44:19 47:18 51:8	grab 18:16	hard 17:10 31:1
102:5,15,18 103:2	54:21 56:18 58:8	grade 81:6	33:16
103:4,7,12,14,17	58:18 61:9 65:5	grandchildren	harder 21:13,14
103:19,22 106:3,5	75:22 82:6 84:3	32:11	49:14
general 16:9 63:9	97:7 100:4 101:8	granted 11:12	harding 2:21
63:12 69:23 87:4	104:1	grates 54:15	56:16 58:3,9,10,13
generally 17:20	goal 81:19 84:6	great 4:17:19	100:16
91:18 97:17	goes 15:7 22:24	14:22 15:2 33:1	hardware 33:18
generate 6:3	49:2 65:17 82:12	36:2 42:22 52:24	harsher 20:19
genuinely 45:9	98:10	56:2,7 58:17	harvard 1:7 3:5
geotechnical 24:6	going 9:9 11:21 12:2 14:6,10 21:7	61:11,16 77:23 78:21 80:21 81:18	17:9,19 19:5
93:17 104:7	12.2 14.0,10 21.7	/0.21 00.21 01.10	20:14 21:2,2,4,12

	I	T	T
21:24 22:3 23:7	15:4 83:4 103:8	honestly 70:19	immediate 19:14
24:23 27:2 28:16	height 18:7,10	honor 70:7	immediately 34:13
33:10,11,12 34:11	20:15 25:17,19,20	hop 105:5	impact 22:2 38:3,4
35:9 37:4,6 39:19	37:22 45:24 47:22	hope 29:3,24 50:7	93:20 99:17
39:21,22 40:9,12	47:23 70:11,12	73:11,12 74:22	impactful 83:8
41:20,23 42:12	90:4,11,17 92:12	hopefully 65:8	98:14
47:2,3,3,9,14 49:1	96:16 97:14 99:2	hoping 48:22 87:2	impacting 101:22
50:18 52:6,13,21	99:6 101:20,23	horizontal 55:8,12	impacts 102:1
53:8,18 55:13	hello 76:22 103:2	95:12	important 11:20
56:21 57:9,19,20	help 5:19 16:1	horrible 51:8	13:4 23:20 25:15
57:24 62:19,22,24	40:24 50:12 56:6	host 6:19	25:22 27:24 32:10
63:21 64:3 65:7	59:12 60:5 67:5	hosting 6:19	37:16 42:13 50:17
65:13,22 66:16,20	81:23,23 98:14,19	house 49:5 64:2	60:16 62:9 72:16
68:3,9 72:12,13	helped 55:12	households 78:24	85:19 88:12 98:10
73:7 77:8 85:15	helpful 35:19	houses 48:15	100:8,12 101:15
86:15,23 87:6,20	42:20 100:21	67:19 68:17 73:22	104:8
90:21 92:18 93:7	helpfully 93:14	housing 62:8 63:8	importantly 33:23
95:8,9,16 101:14	helps 6:3 59:18	63:11,13 64:7	36:15
101:21	63:10 84:17	67:2 68:21 77:5	impression 36:22
hate 12:13 92:20	hereunto 107:13	77:22,24 78:11,12	inadequate 34:21
92:24 103:24	hesitantly 40:20	78:13 99:9	inaudible 98:2
head 72:12	hi 29:22 43:6,7	hover 80:3	106:6
health 13:19 14:19	71:18 103:3	i	incarnation 21:23
105:5,11,23	hideously 92:19	icon 6:16,17	inch 33:11
hear 7:20,22 8:6	hierarchy 82:2	idea 18:23 34:9	inches 59:15 86:6
14:14 29:7 53:1	high 48:18 66:4	44:6,11 49:7 51:8	96:7
56:3 58:11 61:5	73:5 76:12 98:13	77:4,23 86:21	inclined 47:12
74:6 79:24 82:11	higher 31:4	87:3	include 4:20 16:11
heard 8:5 24:15	highlight 78:7	ideas 36:16 43:24	104:13
25:17 36:21 76:24	highly 66:12,13	82:14 85:3	included 19:19
77:16 83:23 98:4	hints 26:20	identifies 5:2	inclusion 62:6
hearing 1:6 4:4,17	historically 32:23		incorporated 88:9
4:23,24 5:4,14,14	history 58:15 77:1	identify 4:2 6:13 identity 46:21	increase 45:23
5:19 6:9,12 7:1,4	hobbits 74:12,17	_	50:2,3 63:11
7:7,11,12,15,24	hold 5:23 80:9	ignoring 32:24 illusion 57:22	increases 63:12
9:1,6 10:8,17,20	holes 21:3	image 16:11 17:1	incredibly 66:13
14:16,20 47:5	holidays 11:17	19:19 28:24	66:15
59:3 80:8 83:4,21	12:15		incumbent 8:7
98:5 102:6	home 15:5,6 95:1	images 15:17	industrial 86:19
hearings 4:14 9:9	homes 79:8	imagine 25:4 27:5 27:12 33:16 47:7	inevitable 68:7
10:19,22 12:3			
·		65:8	

[infill - know] Page 11

infill 18:14,24	inviting 34:2	100:23	kitchen 105:14
information 7:20	involving 3:4	judi 2:19 7:10,11	knew 11:15 12:2
7:22 8:14 14:7,8	irrespective 55:5	102:24 103:2,8	know 7:19 8:24
23:3 24:6 25:12	issue 19:16 22:5	jump 42:4 50:22	9:20 10:10 14:9
56:11 69:1 83:1	23:23,24 24:5		14:10 15:11 17:18
99:10	27:1,15 32:14,16	k	22:9 24:1,1,3,11
informational	51:5 68:2 83:8	kate 2:14 3:7,9	
		10:11 42:9,22	24:13,14 26:6
13:5	90:4 91:20 94:2	56:2,19 57:11	28:4,8 31:1,1,4,6
infrastructure	94:22	80:14 87:22 90:9	31:10 32:1 33:3
74:4,5	issues 8:14 11:14	91:14 100:14	33:14 34:7,16,17
inherently 23:9	17:22 24:4 28:14	106:3	34:18 36:6,24
initial 59:4 84:5	31:21 41:21,21	keep 17:10 98:9	37:5 38:3,8 39:12
86:9	44:23 54:4 55:23	keeping 87:4	39:12,15,19 40:10
initially 97:10	63:17,19 65:1	kenwood 34:15	40:22 41:1,6,12
inner 47:21,22	80:6 82:2 83:10	38:10 44:12 46:5	42:18 43:11 44:4
insight 58:17	84:7 99:19	52:4 53:6 54:9	44:9 45:6,9,15
inspiration 88:5	item 50:15	63:4 65:6,6,9	46:14,16,22,22
instance 14:8 97:7	items 9:12 13:5	66:14 67:18 69:21	47:2,5,7,21,23
intense 105:16	14:3 44:1	70:15,22 71:5,20	48:4,6,8,11,15,17
intensity 13:20	j	73:22 93:9 94:13	48:23,24 49:3,12
105:18	j.r.r. 74:12	95:7 101:14	49:20,24 50:1,3,4
intent 45:10	january 9:4,4,11	key 87:24	50:7,18 51:11,11
interest 25:6 37:17	11:22 12:8,14	ki 47:1 66:2 86:2	51:13,14,21,21
interested 30:13	87:18	90:21	52:12,13 53:12
61:4	jennifer 2:20 11:9	kick 82:4	54:2 55:12,19
interesting 15:23	58:10 59:8 80:24	kind 16:1,8 17:2	59:21 60:2,15
22:10 25:24 39:16	81:1 99:20	17:13,15,20 18:11	61:13 63:7,10,24
57:14 71:12 87:8	iess 80:14	18:18,22,23 19:3,7	64:2,16,16,23
89:24 103:10	jesse 2:12 3:3 29:8	19:9,11,12,18,20	65:23 66:10 67:1
internal 44:7	29:10,19 42:4	21:9 23:1,15	67:1 70:3,19
interpreted 23:22	82:7	24:22 25:1 27:8	71:24 72:18 74:18
interrupt 103:24	jfk 68:13	27:10 28:16,17	74:19 75:7,23,24
introduce 5:20	joanna 79:17,21	35:6 37:1,5,20	76:4,11 81:4
26:21	79:24 80:7,9	38:5,16 39:4 40:2	82:12,24 83:7
invading 74:13	101:4,9	40:23 41:5,7 47:6	84:14 85:8,10,16
invasion 74:20	job 21:11 89:9	48:2,10,11 51:19	85:24 86:6,11,12
investigation	johanna 2:13 3:7	53:16,18 54:4,19	86:19,20 87:1,7,9
93:18	3:11 56:1 81:16	86:11,12 87:1	88:9,19 90:21
invitation 5:14	90:6	kinds 24:14 30:23	91:4,22 92:2,16,16
invite 5:22 101:5	john 2:21 56:16	33:24 93:13 98:7	93:6,18,19,24
	58:3,8,9 100:2,15	33.2173.1370.1	97:19,21 101:10
	50.5,0,7 100.2,15		

	I	I	I
102:11 103:4,11	letting 12:17	live 62:2 67:18	looks 15:6 80:6
104:20 105:9,19	level 25:7 27:19	69:21 73:21 77:9	84:3
105:22	32:5 34:1,4,12	lived 75:10	loss 26:5,6,7 78:16
knowing 16:20	35:12 37:12,18	livelier 45:18	78:18
knowledge 107:12	41:11 46:9 51:2	lives 15:5 64:1	lost 78:24
knowledgeable	55:14 57:17 59:14	74:21	lot 9:20 16:6 17:4
58:19	81:6	living 84:15	17:18 18:8 19:1
known 74:9,11	levels 16:7 31:5	loaded 12:15	20:23 21:3,21,21
knows 24:9 26:7	levine 49:6	loading 52:22	23:16 24:1 25:12
l	lie 98:17	local 62:10 79:4	27:17,19 28:1
lack 88:17 90:12	light 35:4 66:23	located 3:5	31:3,22 32:11
	95:19	location 4:15	35:24 36:3,20
90:12,13 landscape 49:1	likewise 43:8	30:20 31:13 44:18	37:18 40:5,24
landscaped 49:3	limitations 83:13	68:11 72:5	41:2 44:8,11
landscaping 22:21	limited 8:2	locations 74:5	46:17 47:5 48:12
49:4 85:12 88:15	limiting 91:22	loft 86:11,17,17,18	50:12 54:8,19
88:16 95:18	line 13:12,13	87:1	57:12 58:7,16
	17:16 18:5 35:7	logic 18:3	59:2,4 61:3 62:7
language 56:17 95:10	37:12 53:24 54:3	logistical 60:20	63:10 64:10 67:8
large 66:1,1 86:13	54:12 59:15 95:12	long 4:19 33:2	68:1 72:6,23,24
97:23	95:16	43:11 58:15,24	75:18,18 76:24
	lines 17:18 20:23	59:1,1	77:24 86:2 88:12
largely 77:3 90:8 larger 105:3	24:19 55:2,8,12	look 13:14 15:4,17	91:16 93:11 96:11
late 101:13	93:16	16:16 17:13 19:1	96:13,22 97:15
laugh 18:10	lip 96:6	19:20 22:17 26:19	lots 49:4
laundry 23:1	lipstick 97:1	28:9 36:16 37:3	love 32:11 92:18
law 4:13,22	lisa 69:6,13 71:19	37:21 40:1 49:16	low 29:18 91:19
	list 23:1 61:19	51:15,23 57:4	lower 22:19 34:1
layering 39:3,4 lead 93:2	69:10 80:19 98:21	59:6,19 60:13,15	89:18
learned 17:10 59:2	99:18	61:4 64:9 65:3,21	m
leave 25:11 27:22	listen 7:17 27:11	66:17 79:21 88:4	ma 2:7
29:2	listing 43:15	93:15 105:6	maab 104:6
lee 76:19,19,22	lists 104:2	looked 23:17 34:8	magic 96:23
left 50:11 65:13	literally 33:11	44:22 46:13 57:2	
	little 9:16 13:22	looking 16:17,18	magnificent 63:22 main 19:21 22:19
lepson 61:19 62:1 62:2	17:9 24:24 29:12	17:10 26:12 35:3	27:15 45:22 62:22
lessons 17:10	29:14 31:5 36:18	36:2 37:9 40:23	maintain 37:23
letter 25:23 26:1	41:16 60:2 66:20	51:18 53:12 60:20	90:17
43:11,12,18,23	66:23 69:11 70:21	63:21 70:5,6 73:4	maintained
75:6 93:12 98:8	74:17	74:23 86:15	105:21
13.0 33.12 30.0			103.41

Page 12

maintaining 37:7	46:12 52:17 53:6	method 18:4,4	months 58:23 64:2
major 86:3	55:10 64:18 85:21	104:17	morelli 2:3 3:17
making 26:4 28:1	86:6 87:12,13	michael 69:7,14	3:18,21 8:15,18,20
60:10 68:23	meaningful 96:8	69:20	8:20,22 9:17
100:10	means 93:8 96:12	microphone 61:21	10:16 11:5,6
manner 4:5 94:15	meant 22:16	67:14 69:15 73:17	12:20 13:7 14:13
march 4:6	measures 43:14	79:18	15:1,10 69:4,9
maria 2:3 3:16	meet 4:18	middle 10:13 78:5	79:15,21 80:12
8:13,20 9:13	meeting 4:3,13 5:3	mike 71:17	101:3,9 102:4,9
11:22 14:4 15:18	9:7 10:12,21	million 25:17	103:18,21 104:12
82:22 83:5 89:20	11:16 62:3 79:6	mind 98:9 101:7	105:4
102:8,21 103:15	meetings 10:4	104:1	morning 75:20
103:20	13:1 48:23 82:8	minimal 24:7 27:2	76:2
maria's 83:16	82:10,23 83:1,16	minor 80:23	motions 5:23
marketing 91:20	83:19	minute 32:9	motivations 43:15
masonry 48:4 60:1	meets 84:8	102:20	move 41:5 42:12
68:4 77:10	meiklejohn 2:15	minutes 5:19 6:3	49:9 52:4 55:14
mass 87:5 95:6	3:8,14 42:23 43:4	32:12	60:16 87:8,18
96:19 99:2,6	43:5,9 45:1,14	missed 14:5 51:24	95:17
massachusetts	46:12 52:9,22	missing 23:4 28:3	moved 41:23
107:2,7	80:23 81:9,14	mistake 32:19	75:11
massing 19:10	82:5,6 84:11,22	mistaken 62:19	moves 41:7 66:22
58:18 92:12	85:2 102:19	64:15 65:17	movie 74:19
match 72:11	103:15	mitigate 4:10	moving 40:14
material 41:11	member 2:13,14	70:19 71:3 101:24	41:20 44:11 52:2
48:8 55:15	2:15 5:22 62:4,5	mitigation 43:14	music 63:8 76:3
materiality 24:17	67:11 86:9	43:24 50:15	mute 6:1 29:10
materials 4:17	members 3:6 5:12	mixed 13:21 21:23	61:9
5:11 37:15 49:21	6:6,7,12,20,22	40:9,11	muted 29:11
72:2,8 88:10,11	7:13 8:1,7 29:5	mixture 60:24	n
96:3 104:5	30:6 61:13 71:21	mmorelli 2:9	n 2:1 3:1
matter 38:5	81:18,24 83:3	modulation 48:2	name 3:3,16 4:2
matters 26:15	84:21 90:20 92:17	85:20,21 87:3	5:22,24 6:5,14,15
37:9	96:10 102:16	moment 27:23	6:15 47:3 61:23
maximize 70:5	mentioned 15:18	47:13 56:16 61:19	62:2 67:15,18
88:21	44:8 93:22 96:11	64:14 67:17	69:16 71:11,19
maximizing 87:15	96:12 100:23	money 78:21,23	73:19,21 76:20
mean 18:8 25:2	messo 69:7,18,20	monolithic 88:13	79:19,21 76:20
30:14,19 31:15,20	71:10	90:14 95:24	
40:14 41:2,3,9	meters 54:12	month 11:15,17	names 3:8,9 narrative 104:16
44:16 45:7,14	81:10	12:1,13,14	narrauve 104:10

		I	
narrow 54:17 84:6	neighbors 26:2	novelist 25:1	79:16 104:18
narrower 45:2	30:18 55:22 64:5	november 9:10	okay 10:9 29:17
nascent 40:22	66:14 67:7 78:15	10:13,15,17,20	30:1 45:3 56:5
nature 13:6	93:21	11:15,24 12:11,22	58:11 67:17 89:5
nearby 26:22	nervous 68:16	102:8,10,15	103:22 105:12
necessarily 26:11	never 42:11,14	103:16,17,20	old 77:17 85:8
39:8 91:13 96:16	79:2,4,7,9 103:24	106:6 107:21	once 8:4
need 7:20 9:7,23	new 7:21 63:9	number 24:2	ones 55:16
10:18,21 12:1,9	68:24 79:17 86:21	37:20 44:24 46:2	online 7:5
13:22 14:20 15:16	87:8	47:23 50:15 71:1	open 4:3,4,13 25:3
15:16 23:17,21	nice 15:6 22:16	72:21 83:15,19	30:14,16,20 31:15
28:4 34:7 39:9	32:8 34:9 37:15	85:3 89:15 91:19	32:16 33:6 39:16
40:1 56:3 60:23	40:9 41:4 43:6,16	96:10 105:20	85:11,18
68:23 76:5,7	46:4 49:20 65:23	numbers 46:7	opening 102:20
77:23 88:21 89:6	nicely 22:12 37:15	0	opinion 23:19
94:3 105:2,24	niece 64:1,5	o 3:1	26:18 30:13 76:14
needs 15:11 35:10	night 101:13		94:1 104:6
37:6 65:19 83:18	102:24	object 19:3,13	opportunities
89:12,13 95:13,21	noise 72:23 75:18	objective 18:20 observation 30:3	68:21
95:22,23 96:3,8,13	norfolk 107:3	observation 50.5	opportunity 7:13
96:14,17 99:11	normal 23:1	observed 90:21	8:10 22:6 25:2
negative 30:10	normally 20:20		47:24 56:9 62:13
31:18,18 87:12	north 13:16 21:20	obtained 56:11 obvious 27:7	79:10 89:23 100:2
101:24	22:11,13 24:19		opposed 65:13
negatively 101:22	32:20,22 34:20,24	obviously 16:14 30:15	opposite 69:21
negotiation 36:1	41:15,17 51:18		101:21
neighbor 22:13	66:16 88:3	occupancy 56:21	opposition 6:23
24:8 32:20,22	notarial 107:14	occupants 46:23	options 48:23 87:8
34:13,20 39:1	notary 107:6,19	occupies 62:22	order 4:7,10,16
46:6 53:22 54:9	note 5:15 7:9	occurring 104:19	6:20 61:17 70:5
66:15,19 70:7	30:22 80:1 85:20	october 9:14 12:23	88:13
neighborhood	100:15	13:1 107:14	organized 43:17
16:19 31:9 65:7	noted 7:16 99:10	odd 39:19 86:22	43:19
65:15 68:18 73:13	100:11 104:13	oddly 33:4	outbreak 4:9
76:4 94:15,16,20	notes 27:9 47:17	offer 7:13,14,17	outside 33:20
95:4 101:16	notice 49:17	56:9 61:14 82:14	overall 21:4
neighborhoods	noticed 47:10	offered 98:5	overarching 83:2
86:20	notion 16:17 20:24	offering 72:21	overhang 33:24
neighboring 24:9	53:3 70:1,10,11,15	98:13	overhangs 66:6
41:15 97:12	70:20 71:15 72:17	offers 88:18	overwhelming
		oh 3:19,22 8:20	74:14
		36:12 63:21 69:13	,

owner 35:2	participating 5:5	permutations 83:6	pleasant 32:4
owners 64:22	participation 4:21	person 69:5,6	please 3:16 5:6,23
р	4:21 106:7	personal 13:3	5:24 6:4,7,15 7:23
	particular 17:19	perspective 16:2	11:6 29:21 67:15
p 2:1,1 3:1	35:4 46:21 63:6	16:14 20:18 28:13	69:16 73:18 76:20
p.m. 1:17,17 106:6	70:1 88:19	33:22 34:23 51:22	100:4
page 104:1	particularly 28:11	62:10,11,12 63:14	pleased 58:6
pages 1:1	37:4 55:5 91:18	63:16	pleasing 74:6
paid 21:22 65:19	96:10	persuasive 87:1	pleasure 100:23
96:6	parts 54:6	pet 61:5 88:20	point 9:6 11:10
painterly 38:16	pass 71:17	96:3	14:7 16:5 17:23
panak 2:17 3:24	passes 18:9	peter 24:23	18:7,9 23:16,18
15:9,13 61:15,18	patience 106:8	petitioner 56:10	26:10 28:7,9 31:2
67:11 69:6,13	patterns 16:18	phase 40:22	31:8 33:1 34:15
73:15 76:18 79:14	payoff 44:13	phone 6:1	38:2,4 45:22
79:16 102:2	pedestrian 21:5	physical 4:12,15	51:24 52:1,18
pandemic 11:13	24:22 37:8 38:1	pick 36:10	56:10,24 61:12
12:5	41:22 42:14 50:17	picking 40:14	62:15 75:1 83:16
panel 92:17	101:12,22	pickup 47:6	84:7 89:20 105:17
panelist 61:20	pedestrians 42:18	piece 20:11 51:19	pointed 31:6
67:13 73:17 76:20	peer 7:8 8:4,11	68:24 71:13,14	points 93:6,12
79:18	9:18,24 56:11	pit 57:1 81:2,3,6	police 76:1
panelists 69:14	69:24 70:11 77:7	place 10:6 46:21	policy 62:12
70:14	78:15 82:24	47:14	pool 91:22
panels 96:2	peeve 96:4	placed 25:20	portion 70:15,21
parcel 97:12	peeves 61:5	places 17:23 26:20	95:14
park 32:8,9,13	pencils 57:8	38:6 92:1	position 84:19
75:10	people 15:16 29:3	plain 28:3 100:13	positive 22:1 35:9
parking 8:5 12:11	29:3 33:19 61:17	plan 12:21 13:18	45:4,5 93:1
21:21 23:18 24:2	63:20 64:6 65:11	42:7 46:4 64:10	possibility 9:14
31:22 41:24 42:7	70:17 74:1 75:19	104:20 106:2	26:7 41:19
42:8 44:7,20 49:4	79:3,6 91:23 98:2	plane 38:14 41:12	possible 16:20
52:20 56:22 71:2	people's 9:15 93:1	41:12	17:17 18:17 44:23
72:6,19,20 75:3	98:3	planner 2:3 8:22	66:23 97:22
77:18,22 81:1,2,3	percentage 18:1	planning 2:4,17,19	104:11
88:24 89:11,13	perform 96:23	8:23	possibly 86:9
90:4 91:14,15,17	performance 25:8	plans 14:18 50:9	posted 4:16 5:1
91:18 92:1,2,4,8	perimeter 18:6	54:11 64:17 65:5	potential 33:14
93:24 97:17,18	permit 3:4 5:17	104:6	34:3 44:22 71:4
98:1,1,16	8:3 64:19	play 32:12 57:7	potentially 19:12
part 25:21 47:3		59:11	51:6 64:14,24
60:10 76:23 85:7			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

65:1 90:15,16	privilege 89:5	property 3:5	100:13
poverman 2:14	probably 22:9	13:12,13 35:7	putting 54:22
3:7,10 10:10,12	27:10 33:5,23	54:3 55:2 59:15	
29:7,18 35:16	35:18 40:10 44:21	68:7 71:7,11	q
40:13 41:18 42:20	51:13,22 52:8	93:16	qualities 72:2
50:22 80:16 87:23	65:18 77:19 95:2	proposal 40:21	quality 67:22,23
100:7 102:22	problem 12:23	49:17	question 29:9
103:23 104:18	17:3 31:20 35:6	propose 98:18	42:10 45:2,4
106:4	39:4 55:20 90:10	102:9	50:23 54:2 56:19
practical 52:19	98:3,14,23 102:14	proposed 27:14	63:6 76:5 80:24
54:4	problematic 64:9	30:16 52:20 66:5	82:7,20 84:10
practice 4:11	65:1 66:13	74:15 75:14 88:20	99:1 103:23
pre 86:20	problems 63:23	91:7 98:20	questioning 52:10
precedent 31:9	66:7 78:13	proposing 9:3	questions 5:23
40:9 68:15 78:4	proceedings 107:9	proud 51:20	29:3,5,20 30:4
precinct 62:4,4,11	107:11	provide 5:22	35:17 42:24 43:6
predecessors 7:18	process 82:7 84:4	11:22 31:5 61:23	43:10 50:21 61:8
predicted 11:13	84:16 93:1	89:11 91:1 92:1	80:14,15,17,20,22
predominantly	produced 67:24	98:1,6	91:8 99:20,22
75:16	professional 74:7	provided 4:19	100:3,19,20
preliminary 13:10	profit 26:6 78:19	5:12 98:21 104:24	quickly 51:16
13:14 58:2 104:16	78:21	providing 58:14	quite 41:17 58:24
104:20	proforma 45:8	89:24	64:21 66:19
presence 93:6	project 6:24 12:4	provision 104:22	r
present 3:12,14,18	17:14 35:21 36:2	public 1:6 4:13,19	r 2:1 3:1 107:1,5
74:18 84:2 88:6	57:10 58:14,22	4:20,20,23 5:2	107:18
presentation 29:6	84:1,7 88:6,18	6:11,13,22 7:14	racks 54:13
59:4 84:5 86:9	90:21 92:9 94:14	8:12 9:1 30:18,21	radically 34:22
presented 104:9	104:3	44:18 61:14 67:12	raised 41:19 57:1
pretty 15:20 16:3	projections 85:22	76:18 89:7 90:20	93:5,11
26:24 27:7 32:6	projects 48:6 61:2	92:5 101:4 107:6	raises 41:21
37:6 96:23	96:4	107:19	ramp 31:24
previous 35:20	promote 11:1,6	publicly 4:14	randolph 2:15 3:8
70:14	15:8 58:9 59:18	purely 81:22	3:13 15:7 43:4,7
prior 4:2	61:17,20 69:5	purposely 87:4	51:24 55:11 56:1
priorities 82:1	promoted 15:9,10	purview 14:24	57:1,3 60:18
prioritizing 81:24	67:13 73:16 76:19	pushing 87:6	62:17,18 80:21
priority 85:3	properly 23:21	put 16:1 17:23,24	82:3,6 87:24 90:9
privacy 74:20	properties 53:7	28:16 36:6 42:13	102:18
private 6:7	68:9	49:22 55:1,3	random 74:23
		64:11 66:9 71:22	
T. Control of the Con	I	1	

[range - respond] Page 17

range 105:24	rear 53:7 94:21	regard 57:1	replicated 92:20
ratio 89:13,14	95:1	regards 78:14	replication 16:23
98:12	reason 18:15 22:5	reinforce 20:9	report 9:20,22
raytheon 74:4	37:10 52:10 84:13	relate 90:24	15:19 16:4,7,12
reach 84:7	86:22	related 30:22 44:2	22:24 23:17 25:12
read 3:8 15:21	reasonable 44:9	relations 62:7	27:18 28:2,21
25:23 26:1 42:10	reasons 16:6 42:6	relationship 68:4	29:5 35:18 54:18
101:6	46:2	relationships	58:6 67:23 70:1
reading 80:18	rebut 56:10	22:16 47:22 59:24	104:2,7,10
reads 53:5	rebuttal 8:11	relatively 54:17	reporter 107:6
ready 26:17 27:16	recall 51:1	relevant 99:12,13	reports 35:20
42:4 46:15 80:20	received 6:21	relief 31:6 90:13	42:10
real 32:19 33:16	recognize 39:9	91:2	request 49:24 50:6
63:16 91:8 95:24	recognized 20:22	remains 49:11	50:9 88:14
96:18	recommend 106:1	remarks 5:21	requested 13:9
realizing 35:24	recommendations	remember 6:1	requests 85:3
really 10:18,21	36:10	28:7,19 41:2,2	required 4:22
15:15,21 16:24	reconsider 11:24	51:16 53:21,21	requirement 4:12
18:11 19:14,17	record 7:1,3	74:19 86:8	71:3
20:7,14 21:10,24	107:11	remembering 51:3	requires 72:19
22:1,22 23:4,5,10	recorded 7:4	remind 58:22 72:4	reside 71:19
23:16 24:11 25:24	107:9	reminds 64:10	resident 30:21
26:3,15 27:3,4,11	recording 5:10	remote 1:11	residential 21:17
28:3,4,8 29:1 32:8	recycling 104:23	remotely 4:5,18	34:11 44:11 46:3
32:23 33:1 34:16	redesign 104:19	removed 101:23	46:6,11,21 52:3,4
36:21 37:9 38:17	redesigning 105:3	renderings 86:12	52:12 53:7 62:21
40:5 41:7 42:15	redraft 83:20	rent 45:13 91:23	71:6,13,14 88:22
48:15,18,19 51:15	reduce 78:10,16	91:24	92:10 93:8 94:14
52:18 53:4 59:18	99:5,6	rentable 45:12	94:16,20 95:1,4
67:22 68:1,10,18	reducing 79:1	rental 35:1 45:8	residents 30:17
70:7 71:12,16,21	89:15	rented 56:23	88:22 89:6
71:21 72:1,16	reduction 92:11	64:18	resides 102:3
73:23 74:21 76:15	92:12	renting 64:20	resolve 87:17
80:23 84:12 86:6	reference 54:20	repeat 26:16	respect 32:19
87:13,18 88:8	74:11 93:23	repeating 66:10	34:20 56:20 57:9
89:10,16 91:5,11	referenced 78:18	93:4	57:11 67:7 70:23
92:22 94:9 96:5,9	referred 81:2	repetitive 90:8	respective 14:9
102:13 103:24	82:10	replace 71:6	respectively 53:9
105:7	referring 52:7	replanning 44:3	respects 48:24
realms 42:16	refining 36:1	replicate 36:24	respond 3:16 9:8
			14:2

responding 6:4	103:22	schneider 2:13 3:7	separate 69:19
response 8:8 99:12	rings 97:21	3:12 29:9,14,19,22	september 1:16
responsibly 33:6	robert 61:19,20	30:2 35:15 81:17	7:12 9:18
rest 38:14 51:2	62:2	90:7 102:17	serious 63:23,23
restaurant 85:6	roll 6:10	school 75:10,12	seriously 60:13
105:12	roof 99:15	scoot 47:8	serve 79:4,5
restrict 34:9	roofscape 28:9	screen 5:8 6:17	served 44:18
restrictive 33:22	rooftop 32:3,4	15:11,14 80:4	82:21 92:5
restricts 33:24	room 84:16,21	sculptor's 38:17	service 96:6
result 35:24 93:2	97:6,8 105:19	se 25:16	services 14:10
97:22 105:2	roughly 82:13	seal 107:14	session 4:4
resulting 11:14	84:3 94:6	seated 3:6	set 21:24 34:14
42:6	round 87:11	second 85:18	37:13 57:20,21,24
results 92:11	rules 5:17	section 6:16 37:3,9	57:24 59:16 60:23
retail 13:21 33:15	run 85:14	37:21 39:18 51:22	66:2 94:23 95:8
45:5 52:6 59:14	russell 65:16	sections 23:21	100:16 102:6
93:6 105:8,24	102:3	51:12	107:13
reuse 86:19	S	see 5:7 10:23 14:1	setback 22:12,21
review 7:8,8 8:4	s 2:1 3:1	15:2,6 27:3 28:24	24:20 26:24 27:2
8:11 16:15 70:1	safe 101:15	32:15,24 35:23	32:5,20 33:11,12
70:11 104:3	safety 14:10 78:1	38:7,15,19 43:6	34:15,19,21 35:10
reviewed 21:12	83:9	46:19 48:3,22	37:8 39:1 47:22
reviewer 9:19,24	sarcastically 18:8	50:19 51:19 59:6	49:1 50:16 51:1,5
56:12 78:15 82:24	sauron 74:18	60:1 64:18 66:17	53:10,17 54:3,5
reviewers 77:7	saw 25:8 47:15	71:2 74:14,16	55:6 57:19,23
revised 42:11	48:5	80:4,9 85:16	59:13 63:19 64:24
revisit 12:11 59:1	saying 40:19 63:21	86:12 91:12 92:20	68:3 88:1,14 90:4
ribbon 79:22 80:2	80:19 87:15	93:7 95:3 96:21	101:14
rid 51:7 88:13	says 26:11 62:24	97:1,2,15 101:17	setbacks 24:8
ride 47:5	64:3 83:22	seeing 40:6 87:19	30:23 31:11 32:18
right 6:18 9:17	scale 19:13 38:13	seen 17:3 27:16	34:6 38:23,23
14:13 22:8 30:15	scary 78:6	28:23 35:19 40:6	39:1 50:10,23
32:7 38:24 47:1,8	schedule 8:18 11:3	87:10 96:1,2	53:4 66:5 70:6
48:21 50:11,20	11:14,20 12:21	send 80:1	73:9 86:4 87:24
55:14,18 56:19	14:24 82:22	senior 2:3 8:22	90:12 97:13
59:14,20 62:21	schedules 9:15	sense 16:8 18:23	sets 68:15
64:23 66:22 67:19	scheduling 8:14	23:11,24 39:24	setting 19:21
69:13 74:16,21	11:24 12:24	46:2 59:12 63:10	35:11 50:1 60:21
77:10 80:4,17,20	schematic 59:20	91:1	95:15
81:3,12 87:18	scheme 81:2	sensitive 26:9 40:8	sewn 16:6
90:22 91:14		41:7 90:18	

[shadow - starting] Page 19

1 1 20 4 20 6	20 2 42 17 45 22	1.4. (1.1	(2.12.70.0.10
shadow 38:4 39:6	38:2 43:17 45:23	solutions 61:1	62:13 79:8,10
shadows 48:12	46:13 47:17 50:9	solve 19:23 61:6,7	speaker 5:20
shape 47:24 92:21	54:21 68:22 69:22	68:22 78:12 98:23	73:15 76:19
94:19	72:5,9,14 86:8	somebody 45:12	speakers 4:1 69:19
share 5:8 15:11,14	88:3 91:5 92:4,21	70:4	101:18
43:20 47:6	93:3,20 97:3	somebody's 23:7	speaking 4:3 6:2,6
sharing 69:19	105:21	somewhat 8:2	103:1
sharpening 57:8	sits 20:2 72:5	33:3	spears 67:12,17,18
sheer 27:1	93:19	soon 103:11	70:10,10
shop 79:4 88:20	sitting 15:3 92:17	sore 89:21	special 97:5
short 43:12	situation 18:24	sorry 8:23 53:21	specific 7:24 20:8
show 39:19 50:9	24:24 35:13 75:3	76:7 103:24	30:4,22 36:14
51:12 76:2	76:14	sort 14:23 15:5	specifically 37:7
shown 44:24 54:13	situations 104:15	31:3,9 36:8 40:16	58:4 61:8 74:8
shows 54:11	six 29:1 33:11 44:8	44:3 50:24 67:6	77:7 78:7
side 21:20 27:6	63:7 66:3 68:16	81:24 83:13,19	spend 62:7
39:23 40:3 46:5	73:5 91:15,17	84:4,6 86:11	spent 70:17
47:11 48:16 53:21	96:7,22	88:10 90:11,13	spiked 46:22
54:8,19 55:4 72:6	sixth 76:3	93:11,24 94:8,12	spoke 70:14 83:22
95:21 101:21	size 47:16 53:13	96:19	spoken 47:20
sides 68:18	72:3,5 73:2 78:9	sound 20:19 51:7	square 49:12 50:4
sidewalk 33:17	78:10,17 79:1	sounds 77:23 78:1	105:9,19
47:9 85:9,13	97:14 99:16	south 28:12	ss 107:3
sign 62:24	skeptical 26:13	southern 35:3	stability 24:11
signature 107:17	sketch 51:13	space 25:3 30:14	stacked 81:5
significant 32:5,24	skill 107:12	30:16,20 31:15	staff 3:15 82:23
94:24 97:5	skin 49:23 50:2	32:1,4,6,16 33:15	stage 8:2
significantly 12:4	slightly 94:7	33:17 34:10 39:17	stand 64:17
95:23	slope 42:2	44:5 45:5,8,11,13	standard 37:1
similar 38:5 40:15	small 21:16 33:12	45:15,23 46:8	standpoint 75:5
72:10 84:3 94:6	44:8 45:23 66:22	50:16 64:13,20	stands 19:13 64:21
94:22 95:2,3	86:7,24 91:6	70:5 85:11,12	start 8:16 10:12
similarly 55:7	96:11,13 97:3	88:21 91:16 92:7	15:24 20:5 26:22
simple 41:13,17	smaller 38:13	97:10 105:3,8	27:16 30:3 31:14
simply 17:16 70:8	49:13,15 76:10	spaces 24:2 33:7	34:11,13 38:20,21
94:17	smallest 48:21	44:20,24 52:20	39:10 79:23 80:5
single 68:17	soft 29:13,15	56:22 72:22 91:15	81:19 82:3 85:5
sit 33:19	solution 33:2	91:17	87:14 98:11
site 18:6 20:23	72:20 76:13 78:2	speak 6:2,13,23	started 27:5 74:3
28:23 30:14 31:15	91:10	11:2 12:18 26:22	starting 30:11
31:17 32:7,17		56:12,16 58:3	91:19

[starts - tainted] Page 20

starts 76:3 85:6	street 1:7 2:6 3:5	striking 68:19	suggests 93:14
state 4:7 6:5	17:6,9,19 19:5,21	stringing 24:18	suitable 30:20
stated 17:16 27:20	20:14 21:2,2,4,12	striped 52:20	73:13
27:21 36:5	21:18,24 22:3,20	strong 22:20 24:19	summary 43:12
statement 16:9	23:7,12 24:23	24:21 35:8 37:12	summer 74:24
18:19 19:11 20:16	25:3 26:2 27:2	41:10	super 15:11
25:14 28:20 39:10	28:16 32:8 33:3	strongly 48:7 64:8	superficial 38:9
statements 16:1	33:10,13,18 34:11	structural 24:10	39:5 60:2
station 72:6,7	35:9,11,14 36:23	structure 30:24	superintendent
stay 17:22	37:1,3,5,6,8,9,21	96:13,19,22 97:5	75:21,22
stays 50:5	37:23 38:24 39:17	structures 31:11	supply 63:11
step 87:17 90:24	39:18,23,24 40:2,4	struggle 36:8	support 50:7
stepback 49:14	40:9,12 41:20,23	students 75:17	71:16
96:9	42:12 44:12 47:14	studied 34:7 39:13	supporting 5:11
stepbacks 31:4	48:10 49:1,5	54:7	96:22
40:17 48:18 85:22	50:16,18 51:11,12	studies 85:17	supportive 16:4
86:3 94:24 95:5	51:22 52:5,6,21	study 17:20 18:4	37:24
96:5	53:6,8,18,22 54:10	42:11 82:16 92:14	sure 8:15 13:7
stepped 57:16	55:13 62:3,19,20	studying 34:18,19	14:10 15:15 25:24
stepping 50:1	62:22 63:1 65:6	stuff 14:14 54:8	34:23 46:24 56:3
steps 57:18	65:10,13,16,22	59:19	56:13 60:6 61:18
stick 16:24 37:4	66:21 67:19,19	stuffed 54:19	62:1 64:21 66:19
sticking 89:21	68:3,8,9 69:21	subject 7:15 84:9	81:15 82:5,17
store 33:18	70:15,18,22 71:5	submit 101:5	84:13,22 90:15
storefront 37:18	71:20 72:7,12	submitted 13:17	92:2,3 93:17
44:5 45:16	73:7,22 76:23	58:23 104:4	99:24
storefronts 59:16	85:15 86:16,23	subsequent 48:23	surface 18:1 48:13
stores 79:4	87:7,7,20 90:24	subsequently	surprise 97:17
stories 47:23 48:8	94:13 95:7,9,9,16	21:19	surprised 35:23
48:18 66:3,4	101:10,13 102:3	substantively 78:9	63:20
68:16 73:5,9	streets 47:11	substituting 77:21	surroundings
96:17	48:16	subtle 41:5	92:24
storm 13:23 14:1	streetscape 21:6	successful 32:15	suspended 4:15
14:15	23:14 25:22 27:5	40:18 52:14	sustainability
story 22:19 29:2	32:15 51:5 89:23	sufficient 97:8	100:9
35:12 76:12 77:10	strengthen 20:7	suggested 45:14	swearing 75:18
96:22	24:21	76:9 89:20	system 57:1 75:12
straight 20:24	strengthening	suggestion 57:4	t
strangers 74:23	21:5	suggestions 26:4	t 107:1,1
strategies 24:14	strictly 25:18	88:8	tainted 17:5
40:23			

[take - time] Page 21

take 5:7 10:5 terrace 95:15,17 78:3 82:15 83:7.8 82:13 83:11,16 12:12 20:15 22:22 33:17 39:7 57:4 terrible 93:20 93:13 98:7,13 85:19 86:5,71,717 59:6,23,24 60:13 60:13,15 83:10 terriffing 70:20 think 99:1 104:2,9 87:16 88:2,12 87:16 88:2,12 87:16 88:2,12 89:30 90:7,14,16 89:20 90:7,14,16 89:20 90:7,14,16 89:20 90:7,14,16 89:20 90:7,14,16 89:20 90:7,14,16 99:19,21,22,23 93:3,5,9,22 94:2,4 48:13 48:21,24 19:16,24 99:19,21,22,23 93:3,5,9,22 94:2,4 48:13 48:21,24 19:16,24 99:19,21,22,23 93:3,5,9,22 94:2,4 48:13 48:21,24 19:16,24 93:3,5,9,22 94:2,4 48:13 48:21,24 19:16,24 93:3,5,9,22 94:2,4 48:13 48:21,24 19:16,24 93:3,5,9,22 94:2,4 48:13 48:21,24 19:16,24 93:3,5,9,22 94:2,4 48:24,24,13,13,20,24 98:17 99:16,18 49:17 195:9,10,15 95:20 96:8,11,15 95:20 96:8,11,15 48:21,24 19:16,24 98:27,7,11,15 98:27 99:16,18 49:21,19,13 49:21,19,13 49:21,19,13 49:21,19,13 49:21,19,11 49:21,19,19 49:21,19,11 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>				
33:17 39:7 57:4 59:6,23,24 60:13 60:13,15 83:10 taken 6:8,9 22:14 24:10 60:19 70:4 takes 44:9,19 89:4 talk 13:22 24:1 texture 48:13 texture 48:13 talked 47:5 75:3 talking 22:9 52:2 52:24 56:2,7 78:16 93:23 15:16,24 12:1,9 59:20 90:7,14,16 16:3,7,13,15,16,24 17:2,7,12 18:7,14 texture 48:13 17:2,7,12 18:7,14 to:3,7,14 19:10,10,15 96:24 97:4 98:11 96:2	take 5:7 10:5	terrace 95:15,17	78:3 82:15 83:7,8	82:13 83:11,16
59:6,23,24 60:13 terrific 84:12 49:1 104:2,9 87:16 88:2,12 89:3,4,7,12,13,20 89:3,4,7,12,13,20 89:3,4,7,12,13,20 89:3,4,7,12,13,20 89:3,4,7,12,13,20 89:3,4,7,12,13,20 89:20 90:7,12,13 89:3,4,7,12,13,20 89:20 90:7,12,13 89:3,4,7,12,13,20 89:20 90:7,12,13 89:20 90:7,12,13 89:20 90:7,12,14 90:11 04:2,9 89:20 90:7,12,13 89:20 90:7,12,13 89:20 90:7,12,13 89:20 90:7,12,13 89:20 90:7,12,14 89:20 90:7,12,14 89:20 90:7,12,14 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 89:20 90:7,12,16 99:1,16 99:1,16,19 99:1,16,24 99:1,16,18 99:1,16,24 99:1,16,24 99:1,16,24 99:1,16,21 99:1,16,18 99:1,16,18 99:1,18 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:12 100:14 100:12	12:12 20:15 22:22	terrible 93:20	83:23 87:21 88:11	84:23,24 85:4,15
60:13,15 83:10 taken 6:8,9 22:14 testimony 7:17 think 9:8,20 10:5 89:3,4,7,12,13,20 89:20,907,14,16 99:19,22,12,22,23 93:35,9,22,94:2,4 42:17,22,12 18:21,24,19,16,21 93:35,9,22,94:2,4 42:19,24 93:35,9,22,94:2,4 42:19,24 93:35,9,22,94:2,4 42:19,24 93:35,9,22,94:2,4 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10,15 49:71,19,59,10	33:17 39:7 57:4	terribly 31:2 65:9	93:13 98:7,13	85:19 86:5,7,17,17
taken 6:8,9 22:14 24:10 60:19 70:4 takes test 18:10 testimony 10:7,16,24 12:1,9 13:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:3 15:18,19,22 15:3 15:3 15:3 15:3 15:3 15:3 15:3 15:3	59:6,23,24 60:13	terrific 84:12	99:1 104:2,9	87:16 88:2,12
24:10 60:19 70:4 testimony 7:17 13:3 15:18,19,22 92:19,21,22,23 93:3,5,9,22 94:2,4 talke 44:9,19 89:4 testure 48:13 16:37,13,15,16,24 94:7,11 95:9,10,15 94:7,11 95:9,10,15 94:2,24 94:7,11 95:9,10,15 94:7,11 95:9,10,15 94:7,11 95:9,10,15 95:20 96:8,11,15 95:20 96:8,11,15 95:20 96:8,11,15 95:20 96:8,11,15 96:24 97:4 98:11 98:17 99:16,18 100:12 102:10,13 104:7,8 105:11 100:12 102:10,13 104:7,8 105:11 100:12 102:10,13 104:7,8 105:11 thinks 38:10,16 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 38:10,16 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 38:10,16 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 38:10,16 47:19 50:13,13 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 38:10,16 47:19 50:13,13 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 38:10,16 47:19 50:13,13 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 83:12 94:1 thinks 38:12,24 47:19 50:13,14 thinks 83:12 94:1 47:19 50:13 47:19 50:13 47:19 50:13	60:13,15 83:10	terrifying 70:20	think 9:8,20 10:5	89:3,4,7,12,13,20
takes 44:9,19 89:4 61:14 16:3,7,13,15,16,24 93:3,5,9,22 94:2,4 talk 13:22 24:1 texture 48:13 17:2,7,12 18:7,14 94:7,11 95:9,10,15 44:12 61:10 74:8 thank 8:21 11:7,8 18:21,24 19:16,21 95:20 96:8,11,15 78:8 99:8 12:17,19,20 29:4 20:1,23 21:8 22:1 96:24 97:4 98:11 talked 47:5 75:3 35:15 42:22 43:10 22:5,7,8,19,24 98:17 99:16,18 talking 22:9 52:2 52:24 56:2,7 23:15,17,18,20 98:17 99:16,18 78:16 93:23 96:20 58:13 60:18 61:11 24:2,4,13,13,20,24 100:12 102:10,13 tall 27:1 28:7,22 69:3,18 71:18,19 26:23 27:1,49,19 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinking 38:17 99:16,18 35:11 68:14,24 79:9,12 84:12 31:17,19 32:3,7,19 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinking 38:19 50:11 taller 36:23 78:5 73:20 76:14,16,17 30:19 31:6,7,12,16 31:17,19 32:3,7,19 12:8 37:12 57:17 third 11:11,19 23:8 33:9 87:22 90:6 94:5 33:17 36:2,4 37:5 35:17 36:2,4 37:5 57:21 58:1 62:3 46:17	taken 6:8,9 22:14	test 18:10	10:7,16,24 12:1,9	89:20 90:7,14,16
talk 13:22 24:1 texture 48:13 17:2,7,12 18:7,14 94:7,11 95:9,10,15 78:8 99:8 12:17,19,20 29:4 18:21,24 19:16,21 95:20 96:8,11,15 talked 47:5 75:3 35:15 42:22 43:10 20:1,23 21:8 22:1 96:24 97:4 98:11 talking 22:9 52:2 52:24 56:2,7 23:15,17,18,20 98:17 99:16,18 78:16 93:23 96:20 58:13 60:18 61:11 24:24,13,13,20,24 48:17,19,20 98:17 99:16,18 tall 27:1 28:7,22 62:12 67:8,10 26:23 27:1,49,19 74:10 75:8 97:4 47:120 73:10,13,14 26:23 27:1,49,19 104:7,8 105:11 thinking 38:10,16 41ler 36:23 78:5 73:20 76:14,16,17 30:19 31:6,7,12,16 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 83:12 29:1 tallest 20:13 23:6 79:9,12 84:12 31:17,19 32:3,7,19 12:8 37:12 57:17 thinks 32:12 93:1 think 32:12 57:17 thinks 32:12 57:17 <th< th=""><th>24:10 60:19 70:4</th><th>testimony 7:17</th><th>13:3 15:18,19,22</th><th>92:19,21,22,23</th></th<>	24:10 60:19 70:4	testimony 7:17	13:3 15:18,19,22	92:19,21,22,23
44:12 61:10 74:8 thank 8:21 11:7,8 18:21,24 19:16,21 95:20 96:8,11,15 78:8 99:8 12:17,19,20 29:4 20:1,23 21:8 22:1 96:24 97:4 98:11 talked 47:5 75:3 35:15 42:22 43:10 20:1,23 21:8 22:1 96:24 97:4 98:11 talking 22:9 52:2 52:24 56:2,7 23:15,17,18,20 98:17 99:16,18 tall 27:1 28:7,22 62:12 67:8,10 25:1,6,13,13 20:18 100:12 102:10,13 35:11 68:14,24 69:3,18 71:18,19 26:23 27:1,4,9,19 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinking 38:10,16 41ler 36:23 78:5 73:20 76:14,16,17 30:19 31:6,7,12,16 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 83:12 94:1 thinks 83:12 94:1 thinks 83:12 94:1 thinks 33:16,7,12,16 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 33:20 76:14,16,17 30:19 31:6,7,12,16 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 33:20 96:13 57:14 47:19 50:13 57:17 thinks 33:16,7,10,15 47:19 50:13 57:17 thinks 32:1 57:17 thirds 31:11,19 12:8 37:12 57:17 thirds 32:1 57:17 thirds 32:1 57:17 47:13 50:14	takes 44:9,19 89:4	61:14	16:3,7,13,15,16,24	93:3,5,9,22 94:2,4
78:8 99:8 12:17,19,20 29:4 20:1,23 21:8 22:1 96:24 97:4 98:11 talked 47:5 75:3 35:15 42:22 43:10 22:5,7,8,19,24 36:17,18,20 98:17 99:16,18 78:16 93:23 96:20 58:13 60:18 61:11 24:2,4,13,13,20,24 100:12 102:10,13 tall 27:1 28:7,22 62:12 67:8,10 25:1,6,13,13 26:18 100:12 102:10,13 35:11 68:14,24 69:3,18 71:18,19 26:23 27:1,49,19 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinking 38:10,16 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 83:12 94:1 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 83:12 94:1 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 83:12 94:1 thinks 83:12 94:1 thinks 83:12 94:1 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 83:12 94:1 thinks 83:12 94:1 </th <th>talk 13:22 24:1</th> <th>texture 48:13</th> <th>17:2,7,12 18:7,14</th> <th>94:7,11 95:9,10,15</th>	talk 13:22 24:1	texture 48:13	17:2,7,12 18:7,14	94:7,11 95:9,10,15
talked 47:5 75:3 35:15 42:22 43:10 22:5,7,8,19,24 98:17 99:16,18 talking 22:9 52:2 52:24 56:2,7 23:15,17,18,20 40:12,10:10:10,13 tall 27:1 28:7,22 52:12 67:8,10 22:2,4,13,13,20,24 40:17,8 105:11 35:11 68:14,24 69:3,18 71:18,19 26:23 27:1,49,19 47:19 50:13 57:10 74:10 75:8 97:4 71:20 73:10,13,14 28:4,6,12 30:5,9 thinking 38:10,16 4aller 36:23 78:5 73:20 76:14,16,17 30:19 31:6,7,12,16 thinking 38:12 94:1 thinking 38:12 94:1 tallest 20:13 23:6 79:9,12 84:12 31:17,19 32:3,7,19 11:19 32:3,7,19 12:8 37:125 7:17 thinks 38:12 94:1 thinks	44:12 61:10 74:8	thank 8:21 11:7,8	18:21,24 19:16,21	95:20 96:8,11,15
talking 22:9 52:2 52:24 56:2,7 23:15,17,18,20 100:12 102:10,13 78:16 93:23 96:20 58:13 60:18 61:11 24:2,4,13,13,20,24 104:7,8 105:11 tall 27:1 28:7,22 62:12 67:8,10 24:2,4,13,13,20,24 thinking 38:10,16 35:11 68:14,24 69:3,18 71:18,19 26:23 27:1,4,9,19 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinking 38:10,16 74:10 75:8 97:4 71:20 73:10,13,14 28:4,6,12 30:5,9 30:19 31:6,7,12,16 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 83:12 94:1 thinks 33:1,6,10,21,23 33:1,6,10,21,23 <th< th=""><th>78:8 99:8</th><th>12:17,19,20 29:4</th><th>20:1,23 21:8 22:1</th><th>96:24 97:4 98:11</th></th<>	78:8 99:8	12:17,19,20 29:4	20:1,23 21:8 22:1	96:24 97:4 98:11
78:16 93:23 96:20 58:13 60:18 61:11 24:2,4,13,13,20,24 104:7,8 105:11 tall 27:1 28:7,22 62:12 67:8,10 25:1,6,13,13 26:18 thinking 38:10,16 35:11 68:14,24 69:3,18 71:18,19 26:23 27:1,4,9,19 47:19 50:13 57:11 74:10 75:8 97:4 71:20 73:10,13,14 28:4,6,12 30:5,9 thinks 83:12 94:1 tallest 20:13 23:6 79:9,12 84:12 31:17,19 32:3,7,19 12:8 37:12 57:17 23:8 33:9 87:22 90:6 94:5 33:1,6,10,21,23 33:1,6,10,21,23 thirds 32:1 tallish 90:22 100:14,21,24 34:6,7,19,24 35:5 57:21 58:1 62:3 tatte 62:21 65:22 103:13,14,18 37:16,24 38:21 57:21 58:1 62:3 team 58:7 86:10 106:7 39:2,7,10,15 40:1 40:2,3,5,17,23 40:2,3,5,17,23 40:2,3,5,17,23 40:2,3,5,17,23 40:10:20 40:44 42:17 45:7 46:15,24 48:17 40:7 41:4 52:15 40:7 41:4 52:15 40:10:20 40:2,3,5,17,23 40:10:20 40:10:20 40:10:20 40:10:20 40:10:20 40:10:20 40:10:20 40:10:20 40:10:20 40:10:20:20 40:10:20:20 40:10:20:20 40:10:20:20	talked 47:5 75:3	35:15 42:22 43:10	22:5,7,8,19,24	98:17 99:16,18
tall 27:1 28:7,22 62:12 67:8,10 25:1,6,13,13 26:18 thinking 38:10,16 35:11 68:14,24 69:3,18 71:18,19 26:23 27:1,49,19 47:19 50:13 57:11 thinks 83:10,16 74:10 75:8 97:4 71:20 73:10,13,14 28:4,6,12 30:5,9 thinks 83:12 94:1 taller 36:23 78:5 73:20 76:14,16,17 30:19 31:6,7,12,16 thinks 83:12 94:1 23:8 33:9 87:22 90:6 94:5 33:1,6,10,21,23 4bird 1bird 11:1,19 23:8 9:15 100:14,21,24 34:6,7,19,24 35:5 34:6,7,19,24 35:5 35:17 36:2,4 37:5 35:17 36:2,4 37:5 35:17 36:2,4 37:5 37:21 58:1 62:3 tatte 62:21 65:22 100:14,21,24 37:16,24 38:21 40:2,3,5,17,23 66:21 66:21 team 58:7 86:10 106:7 39:2,7,10,15 40:1 40:2,3,5,17,23 40:7,41:4 52:15 40:7,41:4 52:15 86:14 100:20 100:14 42:14,15,17 44:13 40:7,41:4 52:15 86:14 100:20 100:14 47:13,19 49:15 45:9,20,21,21 46:4 46:15,24 48:17 40:7,94:4 52:15 86:14 100:20 100:14 40:2,35,17,23 </th <th>talking 22:9 52:2</th> <th>52:24 56:2,7</th> <th>23:15,17,18,20</th> <th>100:12 102:10,13</th>	talking 22:9 52:2	52:24 56:2,7	23:15,17,18,20	100:12 102:10,13
35:11 68:14,24 74:10 75:8 97:4 74:10 75:8 97:4 71:20 73:10,13,14 taller 36:23 78:5 73:20 76:14,16,17 79:9,12 84:12 30:19 31:6,7,12,16 31:17,19 32:3,7,19 12:8 37:12 57:17 thinks 83:12 94:1 third 11:11,19 12:8 37:12 57:17 thirds 32:1 thirds 32:1 thorndike 47:12 57:21 58:1 62:3 tatte 62:21 65:22 team 58:7 86:10 91:12 92:14 technology 51:15 teenie 16:10 tlog 26:23 30:5 television 7:6 television 7:6 television 7:6 television 7:6 television 7:6 television 7:6 tenia 37:13 77:14 80:24 84:24 tennats 46:23 88:18 105:6 tend 16:16 49:21 tension 21:9 105:1 58:1 69:21 tension 21:9 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:1 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:21 105:1 58:21 59:9 105:1 58:21 59:21 10	78:16 93:23 96:20	58:13 60:18 61:11	24:2,4,13,13,20,24	104:7,8 105:11
74:10 75:8 97:4 71:20 73:10,13,14 28:4,6,12 30:5,9 thinks 83:12 94:1 taller 36:23 78:5 73:20 76:14,16,17 30:19 31:6,7,12,16 thinks 83:12 94:1 tallest 20:13 23:6 79:9,12 84:12 31:17,19 32:3,7,19 12:8 37:12 57:17 23:8 33:9 87:22 90:6 94:5 33:1,6,10,21,23 44:6,7,19,24 35:5 thirds 32:1 thorndike 47:12 57:17 thirds 48:11 57:12 57:17 third	tall 27:1 28:7,22	62:12 67:8,10	25:1,6,13,13 26:18	thinking 38:10,16
taller 36:23 78:5 73:20 76:14,16,17 30:19 31:6,7,12,16 third 11:11,19 tallest 20:13 23:6 79:9,12 84:12 31:17,19 32:3,7,19 12:8 37:12 57:17 23:8 33:9 87:22 90:6 94:5 33:1,6,10,21,23 thirds 32:1 42:12 52:2 42:2 33:1 43:12 42:12 42:14	35:11 68:14,24	69:3,18 71:18,19	26:23 27:1,4,9,19	47:19 50:13 57:11
tallest 20:13 23:6 79:9,12 84:12 31:17,19 32:3,7,19 12:8 37:12 57:17 23:8 33:9 87:22 90:6 94:5 33:1,6,10,21,23 thirds 32:1 tallish 90:22 100:14,21,24 34:6,7,19,24 35:5 thorndike 47:12 task 9:15 101:1,2 102:4,5 35:17 36:2,4 37:5 57:21 58:1 62:3 tatte 62:21 65:22 103:13,14,18 37:16,24 38:21 thorndike 47:12 team 58:7 86:10 106:7 39:2,7,10,15 40:1 thought 10:10 91:12 92:14 thanks 50:20 40:2,3,5,17,23 40:7,41:4 52:15 40:7,41:4 52:15 teenie 16:10 thing 26:23 30:5 45:9,20,21,21 46:4 40:7,41:4 52:15 86:14 100:20 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:7,41:4 52:15 86:14 100:20 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:1,4 42:17 45:7 46:15,24 48:17 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:2,19 50:7,12,14	74:10 75:8 97:4	71:20 73:10,13,14	28:4,6,12 30:5,9	thinks 83:12 94:1
23:8 33:9 87:22 90:6 94:5 33:1,6,10,21,23 thirds 32:1 tallish 90:22 100:14,21,24 34:6,7,19,24 35:5 thorndike 47:12 task 9:15 101:1,2 102:4,5 35:17 36:2,4 37:5 57:21 58:1 62:3 tatte 62:21 65:22 103:13,14,18 37:16,24 38:21 thought 10:10 91:12 92:14 thanks 50:20 40:2,3,5,17,23 21:10 25:24 34:8 40:7 41:4 52:15 technology 51:15 thing 26:23 30:5 42:14,15,17 44:13 40:7 41:4 52:15 86:14 100:20 40:2,3,5,17,23 40:1,44 52:15 40:7 41:4 52:15	taller 36:23 78:5	73:20 76:14,16,17	30:19 31:6,7,12,16	third 11:11,19
tallish 90:22 100:14,21,24 34:6,7,19,24 35:5 thorndike 47:12 task 9:15 101:1,2 102:4,5 35:17 36:2,4 37:5 57:21 58:1 62:3 tatte 62:21 65:22 103:13,14,18 37:16,24 38:21 66:21 66:21 team 58:7 86:10 106:7 39:2,7,10,15 40:1 thought 10:10 91:12 92:14 thanks 50:20 40:2,3,5,17,23 21:10 25:24 34:8 40:7 41:4 52:15 technology 51:15 thing 26:23 30:5 45:9,20,21,21 46:4 40:7 41:4 52:15 86:14 100:20 thoughtful 71:21 thoughts 30:22 70:9 80:14 86:16 thoughts 30:22:19 70:9 80:14 86:16 thought thought	tallest 20:13 23:6	79:9,12 84:12	31:17,19 32:3,7,19	12:8 37:12 57:17
task 9:15 101:1,2 102:4,5 35:17 36:2,4 37:5 57:21 58:1 62:3 tatte 62:21 65:22 103:13,14,18 37:16,24 38:21 66:21 team 58:7 86:10 106:7 39:2,7,10,15 40:1 thought 10:10 91:12 92:14 thanks 50:20 40:2,3,5,17,23 40:7 41:4 52:15 technology 51:15 thing 26:23 30:5 42:14,15,17 44:13 40:7 41:4 52:15 teenie 16:10 thing 26:23 30:5 45:9,20,21,21 46:4 40:7 41:4 52:15 86:14 100:20 tell 28:21 30:17 47:13,19 49:15 49:2,19 50:7,12,14 86:14 100:20 thoughtful 71:21 thoughts 30:22 70:9 80:14 86:16 thoughts 30:22 70:9 80:14 86:16 three 14:2 22:19 14:2 22:19 14:2 22:19 15:12 39:11,13 15:12 39:11,13 48:15 73:9 76:12 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 1	23:8 33:9	87:22 90:6 94:5	33:1,6,10,21,23	thirds 32:1
tatte 62:21 65:22 team 103:13,14,18 106:7 37:16,24 38:21 39:2,7,10,15 40:1 66:21 thought 10:10 21:10 25:24 34:8 eem 58:7 86:10 50:20 technology 51:15 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 106:4 58:10 81:14 108:20 59:17,22 46:15,24 48:17 59:18 51:9,22 59:17,22 60:14 86:16 59:17 59:17,22 60:6,12 59	tallish 90:22	100:14,21,24	34:6,7,19,24 35:5	thorndike 47:12
team 58:7 86:10 106:7 39:2,7,10,15 40:1 thought 10:10 91:12 92:14 thanks 50:20 40:2,3,5,17,23 21:10 25:24 34:8 technology 51:15 58:10 81:14 106:4 42:14,15,17 44:13 40:7 41:4 52:15 teenie 16:10 thing 26:23 30:5 45:9,20,21,21 46:4 40:7 41:4 52:15 television 7:6 41:4 42:17 45:7 46:15,24 48:17 40:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:29,19 50:7,12,14 40:29,21,21 46:4 40:29,21,21,21 46:4 40:29,21,21,21 46:4 40:29,21,21,21 46:4 40:29,21,21,21 46:4 40:29,21,21,21 46:4	task 9:15	101:1,2 102:4,5	35:17 36:2,4 37:5	57:21 58:1 62:3
91:12 92:14 thanks 50:20 40:2,3,5,17,23 21:10 25:24 34:8 technology 51:15 58:10 81:14 106:4 40:2,3,5,17,23 21:10 25:24 34:8 teenie 16:10 thing 26:23 30:5 42:14,15,17 44:13 40:7 41:4 52:15 television 7:6 41:4 42:17 45:7 46:15,24 48:17 46:15,24 48:17 40:0ughtful 71:21 tell 28:21 30:17 47:13,19 49:15 49:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:0ughtful 71:21 ten 37:13 77:14 80:24 84:24 52:3,17,19 53:3 50:18 51:9,22 70:9 80:14 86:16 ten 37:13 85:18 89:8 92:15 54:3,6,23 57:14 35:12 39:11,13 48:15 73:9 76:12 tend 16:16 49:21 things 8:16 9:21 59:17,22 60:6,12 77:10 90:5 96:17 tension 21:9 13:7 23:2,17 60:18 61:3 63:5 63:17 64:24 67:3 63:17 64:24 67:3 63:14 14 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 68:21,22,23 69:7 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9	tatte 62:21 65:22	103:13,14,18	37:16,24 38:21	66:21
technology 51:15 58:10 81:14 106:4 42:14,15,17 44:13 40:7 41:4 52:15 teenie 16:10 thing 26:23 30:5 45:9,20,21,21 46:4 86:14 100:20 television 7:6 41:4 42:17 45:7 46:15,24 48:17 40:7 41:4 52:15 tell 28:21 30:17 47:13,19 49:15 49:2,19 50:7,12,14 40:21 thoughtful 71:21 75:22 89:6 62:16 68:6 70:13 50:18 51:9,22 70:9 80:14 86:16 ten 37:13 77:14 80:24 84:24 52:3,17,19 53:3 three 14:2 22:19 tenants 46:23 85:18 89:8 92:15 54:3,6,23 57:14 35:12 39:11,13 88:18 105:6 58:8,16,21 59:3,8 48:15 73:9 76:12 tend 16:16 49:21 things 8:16 9:21 59:17,22 60:6,12 77:10 90:5 96:17 term 33:2 24:23 27:19 28:3 63:17 64:24 67:3 thumb 89:21 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 68:21,22,23 69:7 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11	team 58:7 86:10	106:7	39:2,7,10,15 40:1	thought 10:10
teenie 16:10 thing 26:23 30:5 45:9,20,21,21 46:4 86:14 100:20 television 7:6 41:4 42:17 45:7 46:15,24 48:17 thoughtful 71:21 tell 28:21 30:17 47:13,19 49:15 49:2,19 50:7,12,14 thoughts 30:22 75:22 89:6 62:16 68:6 70:13 50:18 51:9,22 70:9 80:14 86:16 ten 37:13 77:14 80:24 84:24 52:3,17,19 53:3 three 14:2 22:19 tenants 46:23 85:18 89:8 92:15 54:3,6,23 57:14 35:12 39:11,13 88:18 105:6 58:8,16,21 59:3,8 48:15 73:9 76:12 tend 16:16 49:21 things 8:16 9:21 59:17,22 60:6,12 77:10 90:5 96:17 tension 21:9 13:7 23:2,17 60:18 61:3 63:5 thumb 89:21 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 68:21,22,23 69:7 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	91:12 92:14	thanks 50:20	40:2,3,5,17,23	21:10 25:24 34:8
television 7:6 41:4 42:17 45:7 46:15,24 48:17 thoughtful 71:21 tell 28:21 30:17 47:13,19 49:15 49:2,19 50:7,12,14 thoughts 30:22 75:22 89:6 62:16 68:6 70:13 50:18 51:9,22 70:9 80:14 86:16 ten 37:13 77:14 80:24 84:24 52:3,17,19 53:3 three 14:2 22:19 tenants 46:23 85:18 89:8 92:15 54:3,6,23 57:14 35:12 39:11,13 88:18 105:6 58:8,16,21 59:3,8 48:15 73:9 76:12 tend 16:16 49:21 things 8:16 9:21 59:17,22 60:6,12 77:10 90:5 96:17 tension 21:9 13:7 23:2,17 60:18 61:3 63:5 thumb 89:21 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 tight 34:4,4 80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 68:21,22,23 69:7 time 9:3,8,11 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	technology 51:15	58:10 81:14 106:4	42:14,15,17 44:13	40:7 41:4 52:15
tell 28:21 30:17 47:13,19 49:15 49:2,19 50:7,12,14 thoughts 30:22 75:22 89:6 62:16 68:6 70:13 50:18 51:9,22 70:9 80:14 86:16 ten 37:13 77:14 80:24 84:24 52:3,17,19 53:3 three 14:2 22:19 tenants 46:23 85:18 89:8 92:15 54:3,6,23 57:14 35:12 39:11,13 88:18 105:6 58:8,16,21 59:3,8 48:15 73:9 76:12 tend 16:16 49:21 things 8:16 9:21 59:17,22 60:6,12 77:10 90:5 96:17 tension 21:9 13:7 23:2,17 60:18 61:3 63:5 thumb 89:21 term 33:2 24:23 27:19 28:3 63:17 64:24 67:3 tie 34:14 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 tight 34:4,4 80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	teenie 16:10	thing 26:23 30:5	45:9,20,21,21 46:4	86:14 100:20
75:22 89:6 62:16 68:6 70:13 50:18 51:9,22 70:9 80:14 86:16 ten 37:13 77:14 80:24 84:24 52:3,17,19 53:3 three 14:2 22:19 tenants 46:23 85:18 89:8 92:15 54:3,6,23 57:14 35:12 39:11,13 88:18 105:6 58:8,16,21 59:3,8 48:15 73:9 76:12 tend 16:16 49:21 things 8:16 9:21 59:17,22 60:6,12 77:10 90:5 96:17 terms 33:2 24:23 27:19 28:3 63:17 64:24 67:3 tie 34:14 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 tight 34:4,4 80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 68:21,22,23 69:7 time 9:3,8,11 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	television 7:6		46:15,24 48:17	thoughtful 71:21
ten 37:13 77:14 80:24 84:24 52:3,17,19 53:3 three 14:2 22:19 tenants 46:23 85:18 89:8 92:15 54:3,6,23 57:14 35:12 39:11,13 88:18 105:6 58:8,16,21 59:3,8 48:15 73:9 76:12 tend 16:16 49:21 things 8:16 9:21 59:17,22 60:6,12 77:10 90:5 96:17 tension 21:9 13:7 23:2,17 60:18 61:3 63:5 thumb 89:21 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 tile 34:14 80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 68:21,22,23 69:7 time 9:3,8,11 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	tell 28:21 30:17	47:13,19 49:15	49:2,19 50:7,12,14	thoughts 30:22
tenants 46:23 85:18 89:8 92:15 54:3,6,23 57:14 35:12 39:11,13 88:18 105:6 58:8,16,21 59:3,8 48:15 73:9 76:12 tend 16:16 49:21 things 8:16 9:21 59:17,22 60:6,12 77:10 90:5 96:17 tension 21:9 13:7 23:2,17 60:18 61:3 63:5 thumb 89:21 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 tight 34:14 80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 68:21,22,23 69:7 time 9:3,8,11 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	75:22 89:6	62:16 68:6 70:13	50:18 51:9,22	
88:18 105:6 58:8,16,21 59:3,8 48:15 73:9 76:12 tend 16:16 49:21 things 8:16 9:21 59:17,22 60:6,12 77:10 90:5 96:17 tension 21:9 13:7 23:2,17 60:18 61:3 63:5 thumb 89:21 terms 33:2 24:23 27:19 28:3 63:17 64:24 67:3 tie 34:14 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 tight 34:4,4 80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 68:21,22,23 69:7 time 9:3,8,11 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	ten 37:13	77:14 80:24 84:24	· · ·	
tend 16:16 49:21 things 8:16 9:21 59:17,22 60:6,12 77:10 90:5 96:17 tension 21:9 13:7 23:2,17 60:18 61:3 63:5 thumb 89:21 term 33:2 24:23 27:19 28:3 63:17 64:24 67:3 tie 34:14 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 tight 34:4,4 80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 68:21,22,23 69:7 time 9:3,8,11 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	tenants 46:23	85:18 89:8 92:15	/ /	35:12 39:11,13
tension 21:9 13:7 23:2,17 60:18 61:3 63:5 thumb 89:21 term 33:2 24:23 27:19 28:3 63:17 64:24 67:3 tie 34:14 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 tight 34:4,4 80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 68:21,22,23 69:7 time 9:3,8,11 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22				48:15 73:9 76:12
term 33:2 24:23 27:19 28:3 63:17 64:24 67:3 tie 34:14 terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 tight 34:4,4 80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 68:21,22,23 69:7 time 9:3,8,11 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22		_	59:17,22 60:6,12	77:10 90:5 96:17
terms 41:22 64:20 30:12 36:21 41:18 67:7,24 68:15,20 tight 34:4,4 80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 68:21,22,23 69:7 time 9:3,8,11 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	tension 21:9	1	60:18 61:3 63:5	thumb 89:21
80:13 95:15 97:16 43:21,22 46:13,19 68:21,22,23 69:7 time 9:3,8,11 99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22		24:23 27:19 28:3	63:17 64:24 67:3	tie 34:14
99:18 100:10 51:15 58:21 59:9 69:11 71:8 72:16 11:19 15:1 29:16 104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	terms 41:22 64:20	30:12 36:21 41:18	67:7,24 68:15,20	tight 34:4,4
104:19 106:1 59:11 61:4 62:14 75:5 76:7,13 31:24 43:12 56:22	80:13 95:15 97:16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 ' '	' '
	99:18 100:10		69:11 71:8 72:16	11:19 15:1 29:16
64:17 65:24 70:23 77:23 78:1 80:17 58:24 59:1,2 62:7	104:19 106:1	59:11 61:4 62:14	1	31:24 43:12 56:22
		64:17 65:24 70:23	77:23 78:1 80:17	58:24 59:1,2 62:7

[time - verndale] Page 22

51 00 50 11 01	120112	7 0.10.00. 7	1 1 7 7
71:22 73:11,21	tracking 13:8 14:3	79:18 80:7	unresolved 55:5
75:5 76:15 83:18	tradeoff 78:8 92:6	turning 5:16	untenable 27:7
100:22 104:10	tradition 97:17	two 7:3 10:4,14,21	unusual 35:13
times 7:21 15:22	traditionalist	32:1 44:1 46:9	87:13
24:15 25:18 84:14	97:18	47:1 48:8 53:5	upcoming 82:8
tip 94:15	traffic 8:5 12:11	65:10 68:17 70:13	updates 8:13
today 25:23 43:16	41:22 42:10,11	70:15,21,23 81:5	upper 91:1
69:20 70:24 73:24	65:18 71:4 72:24	85:2 86:16 87:21	urban 18:14 19:20
103:5	75:4	94:12	usable 32:1,4,16
told 97:10	transcribed 7:2	type 22:19 63:15	use 13:20,21 21:24
tolkien 74:12	107:10	67:5 77:14 86:18	30:18 34:12 37:8
ton 36:6	transcript 7:2	types 18:12 64:6	40:9,11 45:11
tonight 8:5 62:13	107:11	97:13	46:6,11 52:6
100:22	transformer 54:21	typically 18:5	86:20 89:7,10
tonight's 7:1,7 8:1	55:1,3	u	105:18
tons 22:14	transmission 4:10	uber 47:8 89:10	useful 32:6 84:18
tools 37:1	transportation	ugly 92:19	uses 13:21 18:20
top 40:17 48:18	44:19 89:7 92:6	ultimately 97:20	27:13 33:13,14
49:14 74:15 86:4	98:8,22	98:15	44:1 88:22 105:15
90:5	trash 13:18 14:15	unattractive 92:22	105:24
topic 62:10	104:20 105:3,4,19		usually 85:11
topics 7:23	106:2	underground 31:23	104:13
totally 87:23 99:23	treatment 55:9	underscore 66:12	utility 55:3
104:21	tremendously	understand 13:20	utilized 92:8
toters 105:20	75:2	14:18 28:5 72:17	v
touching 27:10	tried 15:22 59:23	84:13,17 88:24	
97:16,16	59:23 85:17	99:21,24 100:5,17	vacancy 56:22 vacuum 81:23
tough 26:24 27:4,4	trilogy 74:12	105:17	vacuum 81.23 valid 77:17
28:17 32:7	trouble 101:4		values 32:11
tower 74:11 94:17	troubles 97:21	understanding 37:10 60:21 74:1	variation 88:12
94:18,19	true 40:11 97:21	81:3 82:9,13	various 16:7 79:5
town 1:5 2:5 5:13	107:11	undifferentiated	
9:6 10:12,20	try 10:7 34:18		83:6 84:14
11:12,16 14:5,11	51:11 59:6 60:4	70:2	vault 54:23
62:3,11 67:21	60:16,24 61:6,7	unfortunately	vehicle 47:11 vehicular 42:12
71:1 72:19 77:2	82:15 88:4	98:23	
79:5,6	trying 19:14 25:10	unit 35:2 46:9	veksler 69:5,10
town's 5:2	45:22 83:14	49:11 71:6	73:20,21
track 95:14	tunnel 101:20	units 26:8 63:7,9	ventilate 54:15
tracked 14:23	turn 46:5 61:21	67:2 89:15 92:10 unmute 79:22	verndale 22:17 23:12 26:2 32:21
1	I .	HHMHHE /9°7.7	1 7. 1 7.2 7.0 7.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1
	67:14 69:14 73:17	80:1,4	76:23 77:9

[vertical - worth] Page 23

vertical 48:10	walker 101:15	30:15 32:2 38:17	window 6:18 35:4
55:16,17	walkers 46:17	38:22 42:14 43:18	windows 17:24
vertically 85:23	walking 46:16,18	46:16 54:23 55:19	34:21 57:21 86:13
vetted 13:18	walkway 54:16,17	57:14 63:1,2,3	96:2
victor 2:17 3:21,22	wall 27:1 85:7	64:13 65:6,10,14	winds 96:5
3:22 6:19,20 15:8	95:21	65:24 70:7,15	wish 6:6,13
61:12 69:4 79:12	want 7:9,14,22	71:2,5 73:6 87:12	witness 107:13
102:4	10:2 11:10,18	89:14,16 90:18,22	wondered 52:14
video 5:1,6 61:22	12:21 13:24 14:11	90:23,24 91:4,13	wonderful 32:23
67:14 69:15 73:18	14:14 15:21 18:19	92:21 94:19 96:14	77:11 78:2
79:19,23 80:5	18:19 27:23 31:16	97:24 101:24	wondering 42:3
view 38:17	36:22 40:1 42:16	ways 16:14,19	wood 21:17
views 51:14 93:1	43:13,20 44:17	21:13 24:15 46:17	worcester 19:22
virtual 12:3 15:4	45:12 47:7 55:21	48:1 67:3 70:21	word 89:4
virus 4:9	56:9,18 58:3,13	84:20 90:16 95:10	words 56:14 75:13
visible 28:10,11	60:6,15 61:6,14	95:24	94:11
99:16	62:15 66:11 67:1	we've 11:11 14:8	work 18:12,17
visit 86:8	67:2 71:18,20,24	24:5 28:15 30:9	19:24 20:6 22:21
vitaly 73:16,16,21	72:4 74:22 76:6	56:11 59:2,4,5,23	23:13 26:12 27:3
volume 20:5,6	77:14,18 78:7,17	59:23 76:24 77:2	27:13 28:18,21,23
23:5 25:18,19	79:24 80:1 91:24	83:24 84:1 87:10	37:11 41:8 45:8
26:12,15 28:18	92:15 93:16 94:17	96:1,2	46:7,10 51:4,10
34:19 49:24 50:2	95:3,8 98:8 99:3,3	website 5:13	55:13 59:7 60:4
51:7 55:19 70:5	99:4,19,20,22,24	wednesday 1:16	63:5 83:5 87:19
volumetric 38:22	100:7 103:10	week 7:12 8:5 9:19	100:23
volumetrically	106:7	9:24 10:1 12:22	workable 42:6
54:6	wanted 10:24	77:16 102:7	worked 28:15 30:9
vote 6:8,9,10	56:19 57:10 64:11	weeks 7:3 10:6,8	35:21,22 43:2
W	68:6 72:15 75:7	10:15 102:14	67:21
wait 6:4 14:20	102:11	weighed 14:6	working 10:4 13:1
waited 10:19	wants 7:17 13:14	welcome 7:10	13:3 22:18 24:3
waiting 20:11,20	56:12	went 75:9 93:1	39:7 41:3 42:24
	_		
43:17 46:13 47:17			
49:4 63:2 65:22			
walkable 92:5			
walked 46:14			
101:13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	willie 70:10	worth 93:4,9
	20:6,20 23:10		
walid 71:11 walid's 71:7 walk 32:9,12 43:17 46:13 47:17 49:4 63:2 65:22 walkable 92:5 walked 46:14	wares 33:19 washington 2:6 watch 80:8 watching 70:17 water 13:23 14:1 14:15 way 6:3 16:16,24 17:13 18:21,24 20:6,20 23:10	west 22:11 54:1 we've 14:23 whatnot 11:17 whatsoever 66:8 whereof 107:13 william 67:12,12 67:18 willie 70:10	43:3 48:19 58:15 62:8 74:3 82:10 84:16 works 18:11 23:6 41:13 46:18 54:5 worldwide 74:6 worst 75:13 worth 93:4,9

[write - zoom] Page 24

write 9:19 written 11:22 wrong 19:18 22:8 23:9 39:8 49:8 51:4 wrote 43:18

y

yard 31:10 41:3 53:20 yards 74:16,24 yassir 71:9,10 yassir's 71:11 yeah 13:2 30:2 44:15,15 45:20 52:9,16,23 53:2,11 55:10,10 56:13 79:17 89:1 year 70:3 75:13 years 30:8 70:17 77:17 yielded 6:5

Z

zba 4:3,4,24 10:1

60:15 84:21 zero 57:19 64:18 64:19 92:3 105:9 105:10 zone 52:22 zoning 12:2 79:8 zoom 1:11 5:1 69:8 80:3